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Welcome to Outlook 2023, our annual publication that sets out our expectations and key investment themes for the coming year and beyond. This edition, “Roadmap to recovery: Portfolios to anticipate opportunities,” highlights steps that we believe you should consider to help seek returns.

Investing over the past year has come with its challenges and uncertainties due to inflation, monetary tightening, slowing growth, international conflict and an intensified US-China tech rivalry. For the first time in decades, equities and fixed income suffered significant falls simultaneously, alongside alternative asset classes.

While 2023 will still have its share of challenges, we also see it as a year of change and opportunity. In the US, we expect a mild recession, with regions such as the eurozone being more heavily impacted. As inflation subsides, we see the US Federal Reserve pivoting from interest rate hikes to cuts and markets shifting focus to 2024 recovery, unlocking more potential opportunities for investors.

As the markets continue to swing, timely guidance has become even more valuable. Our insights help us engage in deeper client conversations and create strategies that achieve your investment objectives. The value of keeping portfolios fully invested remains increasingly important – market timing can come at a great cost, as turning points often arrive with little warning.

For your convenience, we have also created helpful summaries, including Findings & Opportunities and a new version of this publication in just two sides.

We look forward to continued partnership and success in the new year.
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FROM THE DESK OF THE CIO:

Our Wealth Outlook 2023

For investors, 2022 will not be missed. The year presented a series of firsts and worsts. The tragic war in Ukraine hugely distorted global food and energy supply chains, further emphasized the divide between the US and China - see A greater separation between East and West: G2 polarization intensifies - and accelerated the onshoring of critical business infrastructure. The Fed instigated its fastest set of interest rate increases ever. In doing so, it responded to the inflation it caused by adding excessive liquidity to counteract the effects of the pandemic. As the safe-haven US dollar strengthened, goods almost everywhere else became more expensive, adding to global central bank tightening pressures. These are all sources of instability.

In this environment, equities and bonds declined in tandem by the most ever in 2022, with joint losses of about 20% at the low point. Cash outperformed almost every asset class. As we look ahead, however, we need to remember that markets lead economies. The poor market returns of 2022 anticipate the economic weakness we expect in 2023 - see Roadmap to recovery: Markets lead, the economy follows.
We believe that the Fed’s rate hikes and shrinking bond portfolio have been stringent enough to cause an economic contraction within 2023. And if the Fed does not pause rate hikes until it sees the contraction, a deeper recession may ensue. The most recent inflation data and Fed minutes suggest that the Fed is aware of these risks. Yet Fed policymakers’ tendency toward excess gives us pause as we plan for 2023.

With perfect hindsight, sitting out 2022 would have been worthwhile. But to think that way is dangerous for wealth preservation and creation. One year is just a “moment” in the lifetime of a portfolio. Sidestepping the pandemic and war-laden past three years would have been a major mistake for equity investors. Between December 2019 and November 2022, the S&P 500 Index rose 25% and the MSCI World 15.4%. For 2023, we reiterate the fundamental wisdom of keeping fully invested portfolios - see for example, it is time to put excess cash to work.

Remember, the world economy is highly adaptive and resilient. So too are markets.

Thinking about 2023

Markets in 2023 will lead the economic recovery we foresee for 2024. Therefore, we expect that 2023 may ultimately provide a series of meaningful opportunities for investors who are guided by relevant market precedents.

First, though, we need to get through a recession in the US that has not started yet. We believe that the Fed’s current and expected tightening will reduce nominal spending growth by more than half, raise US unemployment above 5% and cause a 10% decline in corporate earnings. The Fed will likely reduce the demand for labor sufficiently to slow services inflation just as high inventories are already curtailing goods inflation.

The relative health of corporate and personal balance sheets has delayed an economic downturn, for now. Household borrowing is sustaining growth presently, but this dissaving is likely unsustainable, especially given financial market and real estate price deflation. Also, when short-term rates are higher, there is a natural bias to deferring purchases.

We remind investors that over the past 100 years, no bear market associated with a recession has bottomed before the recession has even begun. (Of course, there is a first time for everything.) We believe that the current bear market rally is based on premature hopes that the recession will not occur - a so-called “soft landing” - and that there will not be a meaningful decline in corporate earnings.

Second, we need to get through a deeper recession in Europe as it struggles through a winter of energy scarcity and inflation. We also need to see a sustained economic recovery in China, whose prior regulatory policies and current COVID policies curtail domestic growth.

Third, we need to see the Fed truly pivot. Ironically, when the Fed does finally reduce rates for the first time in 2023 - an event that we expect after several negative employment reports - it will do so at a time when the economy is already weakening. We think this will mark a turning point that will portend the beginning of a sustained economic recovery in the US and beyond over the coming year.
Higher returns may be on the horizon

After the big drop in valuations in 2022, our 10-year return forecasts – or “strategic return estimates” (SREs) – have risen. A year ago, our strategic asset allocation methodology pointed to annualized returns for Global Equities over the coming decade of 6.1%. Today, that stands at 10%. SREs for Private Equity and Real Estate are higher still. Likewise, the Global Fixed Income SRE has climbed from 3.7% to 5.1%. Even Cash now has an SRE of 3.4%, up from 1.5% – see Better long-term returns ahead.¹

A “sequence of opportunities”

While no one can know the precise timing and sequence for selecting investments globally at a time of significant uncertainty, we think that there are numerous data points to suggest that a potential set of opportunities will arise in 2023.

Ahead of the expected recession, we are committed to selectivity and quality. This begins with fixed income, which we believe offers genuine portfolio value now for the first time in several years. Short-duration US Treasuries present a compelling alternative to holding cash. For US investors, municipal bonds also seek better risk-adjusted after-tax returns. Broader investment-grade bonds offer a range of higher yields at every maturity. And loans in private markets – think private equity lending – offer larger yield premiums with lower loan-to-value ratios than at any time since 2008-09.

If the economy does go into a mild recession, the US yield curve will initially invert more deeply. We can imagine thus that longer duration bonds may perform well at the stage. After this stage, we would look to redeploy assets more widely.

Broadening equity exposures

In the near term, we believe equities in companies with strong balance sheets and healthy cash flows will provide investors with greater portfolio resilience – see Why dividend grower “tortoises” may be core holdings.

We expect that as 2023 progresses, opportunities to increase portfolio risk will evolve. Once interest rates peak, we will likely shift toward non-cyclical growth equities. These have already repriced lower, and we expect them to begin performing once more before cycicals. Among non-cyclical growth equities are many exposed to our Unstoppable Trends – see Deepening digitization. Subsequently, early in the recovery period, we will also seek a reentry opportunity in cyclical growth industries, as value equities may prosper when supply pipelines are unable to meet revived demand.

The dollar could continue rallying for longer than fundamentals justify. Overshoots have been a characteristic of prior periods of dollar strength. Around a durable dollar peak, we will look to add more non-US equities and bonds.

¹ Source: Citi Private Bank Global Asset Allocation team.

2023 SREs are based on data as of 31 Oct 2022. Global Equity consists of Developed and Emerging Market Equity. Global Fixed Income consists of Investment-Grade, High-Yield and Emerging Market Fixed Income. Strategic Return Estimates are in US dollars; all estimates are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice and are not intended to be a guarantee of future events. Strategic Return Estimates are no guarantee of future performance. Citi Private Bank Global Asset Allocation Team. SREs for Mid-Year 2022 are based on data as of 30 Apr 2022. Returns estimated in US dollars. Strategic Return Estimates (SRE) based on indices are Citi Private Bank's forecast of returns for specific asset classes (to which the index belongs) over a 10-year time horizon. Indexes are used to proxy for each asset class. The forecast for each specific asset class is made using a proprietary methodology that is appropriate for that asset class. Equity asset classes utilize a proprietary forecasting methodology based on the assumption that equity valuations revert to their long-term trend over time. The methodology is built around specific valuation measures that require several stages of calculation. Assumptions on the projected growth of earnings and dividends are additionally applied to calculate the SRE of the equity asset class. Fixed Income asset class forecasts use a proprietary forecasting methodology that is based on current yield levels. Other asset classes utilize other specific forecasting methodologies. Each SRE does not reflect the deduction of client advisory fees and/or transaction expenses. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Future rates of return cannot be predicted with certainty. The actual rate of return on investments can vary widely. This includes the potential loss of principal on your investment. It is not possible to invest directly in an index. SRE information shown above is hypothetical, not the actual performance of any client account. Hypothetical information reflects the application of a model methodology and selection of securities in hindsight. No hypothetical record can completely account for the impact of financial risk in actual trading. See Glossary for definitions.
Alternative investments

In our view, 2023 will potentially be a great vintage for alternative investments. Higher interest rates have caused a repricing of private assets amid much higher borrowing costs. As such, specialist managers will be able to deploy capital into areas of distress and illiquidity – see Alternative investments may enhance cash yields. Across the venture capital industry, capital is now being deployed more judiciously and at more favorable valuations for investors – see Digitization and the growth in alternative investments.

For real estate, a higher bar is now in place for new investment across almost all markets and property types. We see this as a favorable backdrop for real estate investors in 2023 – see How unstoppable trends are redefining real estate. Our strategic return estimates in these areas are now materially higher than they were just a year ago when interest rates were much lower, indicative of how much value may be earned over time by taking illiquidity risk when others are less willing to do so.

Toward a new normal with new risks

Over the past six months, we have written about the “little fires” burning across the globe. No one knows how or when the war in Ukraine will end. We cannot be sure of China’s trajectory given its election of like-minded leadership. And we certainly do not know what political events will unfold in response to the recession itself, as governments will lack the resources needed to support individuals and companies as they did through the pandemic. In short, markets today are assuming that none of these little fires grow bigger or come together in an untimely way – see Expect the unexpected: How we might be wrong. That itself means that investors need to think of “sequencing” as a useful investing discipline.

As we look ahead to 2023, it is a time for pragmatism and practicality. There has been no economic period like this one, buffeted by the collective impact of a pandemic, a war and a highly reactive Fed. That said, we maintain our realistic view that the world will see businesses improve the lives of customers across the world. For example, we believe that the climate challenges will ultimately be addressed and provide fuel for profits along the way – see Energy security is vital. We believe that the post-pandemic period will accelerate the development of new treatments for disease and new tools to prevent future calamities – see Seeking to boost portfolio immunity with healthcare. We believe that new global macro realities will present opportunities to reshape supply chains and alliances. And we also believe that a return to a “new normal” is the likeliest outcome for the global economy – though not the only one.

It has been a great honor to work with a highly capable team in our Office of the CIO these last years as we provide you, our valued clients, with insights designed to make your lives better as we make your portfolios more resilient.

Wishing us all a better, healthier and peaceful 2023.

---

2 The Squeeze Is On - CIO Strategy Bulletin, Citi Global Wealth Investments, 9 Oct 2022
Roadmap to recovery: Markets lead, the economy follows

We expect global growth will deteriorate for some of 2023. Markets will then increasingly focus on the recovery that lies beyond. We enter the year defensively positioned but expect to pivot as a sequence of potential opportunities unfolds.

- The Fed’s cumulative monetary tightening will likely stifle the world economy no later than mid-2023
- For portfolios now, we remain cautious, seeking returns through high-quality equities and bonds, as well as capital markets and alternative strategies for suitable investors
- Markets will start focusing on 2024’s recovery sometime in 2023, enabling us to take greater investment risks across a variety of asset classes
- As interest rates peak, we would expect to shift first to quality growth equities in non-cyclical industries
- A 10% decline in broad corporate profits in 2023 should hit many cyclical industries before any recovery takes hold
- As unemployment rises, we expect the Fed to reverse course by the second half of 2023, with fixed income yields dropping
- The US dollar’s bull market could overshoot even higher, but chances are building of non-US assets and currencies finding a “deep value bottom” in 2023
- While Fed drama has distracted many investors, we call for renewed attention on the unstoppable trends transforming the world economy
The post-COVID economic boom of 2021 has given way to a bad “hangover” as we head into 2023. As with any day-after pain, today’s headache will not last. But many investors find it difficult even to imagine recovery. We believe change for the better will come in 2023, even as markets face challenges along the way.

Growth and inflation were never destined to stay in their previous narrow ranges given the COVID shock and war in Ukraine – FIGURE 1. Much of today’s economic distortion derives from unusual disruptions to supply and vast, unpredictable swings in demand. Aggregate demand stimulus was not the right medicine for these problems. Stimulating demand without stimulating supply generates painfully high inflation.

One way to avoid compounding a hangover is to stop drinking. Tightening fiscal and monetary policy is the economic equivalent of that. US federal spending has fallen 11% year to date, for example – FIGURE 2. Real consumer goods spending has fallen about 1% in 2022 to date with the bulk of Fed monetary tightening’s impact yet to come. The slowdown in consumer spending and the sharp rise in goods inventories will put the brakes on global trade growth and corporate profits in 2023 – FIGURE 3.
We expect a global recession in 2023 – **FIGURE 4.** Indeed, the 1.7% annual global growth we expect is likely to be weakest in forty years outside of the Global Financial Crisis year of 2009 and the COVID shutdown year of 2020. Among the major economies, the eurozone and the UK are likely to come out worst, with full-year contractions of 0.5% and 1% respectively as they contend with sky-high energy costs, as well as policy tightening.

China looks to be one year ahead of the US and may provide some diversification to portfolios in the years to come. Amid weak labor markets and a real estate crisis, the world’s second-largest economy is already in monetary easing mode. After two dismal years, we expect low Chinese profits to rise along with expanding money supply, just as US profits and money supply contract. However, its near-term prospects rely on the ongoing relaxation of its strict COVID measures and continued support for its nascent real estate recovery – see Asia: Broader reopening to enable regional recovery.

With the US likely entering a mild recession and unemployment probably exceeding 5%, we see the greatest surge in inflation as largely behind us in 2022. That said, US inflation is unlikely to reach pre-COVID norms in 2023. We see it retreating to 3.5% by end-2023 and 2.5% by end-2024, while averaging higher during those calendar years. Our estimates are unchanged despite our reduced economic growth forecasts since June 2022 and slow recovery expectation for 2024.
The Fed has not been able to end recessions quickly once underway. However, it does have a history of frequent policy reversals. In the past 45 years, peak policy rates have been sustained for only seven months on average before cutting rates. If the Fed can soon find a balance between the excessive easing of 2021 and the rapid tightening it has “rhetorically” encouraged in 2022, it might avoid amplifying financial and economic excesses.

Positioning for a year of challenges and change

Across 2022, investors braced for the forecast 2023 recession. The resulting bear market is well underway, although incomplete. A new bull market has never begun before a recession has even started. Most typically, a bull market begins at around the mid-way stage of a recession. The very strong communications of the Fed’s intentions and a year of bearish anticipation may see markets bottom somewhat sooner than usual. However, as of late November 2022, a recessionary decline in employment and corporate profits has not even begun.

Within 2023, we expect investors to start discounting 2024’s recovery. Only twice in the past century – including the Great Depression – did US equities take more than two calendar years to find a lasting bottom. But further losses might still come first.

What might mark the bottom for markets amid the coming recession? As usual, producers will overreact to demand weakness, cutting output too far. Within several months of that moment, the “excessive caution” will be followed by reports of falling inventories. Such datapoints will be among the preconditions for recovery. Earnings per share will likely only follow equities higher, with the past lag having been about six months – FIGURE 5.

**FIGURE 5. EARNINGS PER SHARE BOTTOM LATER THAN MARKETS**

Source: Haver, as of 30 Nov 2022. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. They are shown for illustrative purposes only and do not represent the performance of any specific investment. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Real results may vary. All forecasts are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice, and are not intended to be a guarantee of future events.
The US dollar may overshoot

In the coming environment, we look for an end to the US dollar’s mighty ascent. This period of strength has been its third such secular bull market since it began floating freely in 1971 - FIGURE 6. However, there is a risk that it will overshoot, rising for longer than is justified by fundamental drivers.

There are precedents for such an overshoot. While the Fed began easing during the 1982 recession, the dollar continued rising sharply until 1985. And the currency’s strength persisted through much of 2002, despite the 2001 tech bubble burst.

The US experienced an asset bubble-induced recession in 2001. Despite sharp declines in real interest rates and a dramatic drop in equity valuations during the period, the US dollar continued to rise through much of 2002.

Given this, predicting a peak in the US dollar is tricky. We feel confident in our view that US and global equities will find a bottom and US rates a peak. Nevertheless, present circumstances suggest a peak value for the dollar - and trough values for major currencies - will be reached in the coming year. This will have lasting positive implications for returns in non-US assets for many investors for years to come.
A possible path through: opportunities now, opportunities later

In the year of challenges and change that we expect, we see various potential opportunities for investors. A sharp fall in valuations across many markets have driven up our ten-year strategic return estimates – see The brighter long-term outlook for asset classes. On a tactical view, the opportunities may present themselves in a sequence, some sooner and others later. In early 2022, rapidly rising interest rates created uncertainty for valuing any financial asset. The rough doubling in government bond yields over the past year has boosted higher quality fixed income yields to a more appropriate level for the first time in several years. Given a slowing cyclical backdrop, we see a stronger potential opportunity for fixed income assets within overall portfolio construction and to earn income on excess cash – see Pursuing portfolio income with short-term bonds. In the environment we expect, US 10-year Treasury yields may end 2023 at 3.0%

Heading into 2023, we believe defensive equities may perform best near term and we remain overweight US dollar assets. By contrast, we remain cautious on Europe and Japan. However, we note that most non-US equities’ poor performance in 2022 was owing to collapsing local currencies rather than local returns falling. This may start correcting in 2023 as peak fear and peak policy divergence with the US sets in – FIGURE 8.

Source: CGWI Office of the Chief Investment Strategist, as of October 11, 2022. All forecasts are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice, and are not intended to be a guarantee of future events. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index.
If so, long-lasting income-producing assets in Europe, Japan and others might be bought at unusually depressed values – see Europe: Bracing for winter recession and Asia: Broader re-opening to enable regional recovery. Just as a single example, German REITs have returned negative 40% in US dollar terms in 2022 and now yield 12%. If Europe were to recover half of its losses of the past two years, the annualized return would be 19% in US dollars, even assuming no change in REITs’ price.

For many cyclical industries, however, a bottom may occur late in 2023. Before then, economic weakness will depress interest rates. Industry-leading growth equities may bottom before cycicals, however. We also look for the recessionary conditions to create potential opportunities for certain alternative strategies – see Alternative investments may enhance cash yields.

We continue to focus on what drives economic growth over time and generates real investment returns. Apart from population growth, real economic growth is entirely determined by innovation. Developing new tools or better processes leaves us with means to create more output per person. Money - what central banks give and take away – provides us none of it. In general, the information technology and healthcare sectors have capitalized most on innovation and enjoyed the most potent demographic forces to drive superior long-run returns – Figures 9 and 10. We explore these in How unstoppable trends are redefining real estate and Digitization and the growth in alternative investments.

Although the valuations of many innovative companies and sectors are under pressure, there is no fundamental change in their prospects. While there were many distortions in the COVID economy, we do not expect a global reduction in expenditures in essential areas such as cybersecurity and green tech - Energy security is vital. By contrast, at the end of the “dot-com” bubble in the early 2000s, both valuations and fundamentals unwound very sharply together.

**FIGURE 8. US DOLLAR SURGE COULD REVERSE AFTER OVERSHEET**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country/region</th>
<th>YTD return (local ccy)</th>
<th>YTD return (USD)</th>
<th>YTD FX return (vs USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>-15.9</td>
<td>-15.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>-13.7</td>
<td>-17.7</td>
<td>-4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td>-9.1</td>
<td>-5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China (A shares)</td>
<td>-22.1</td>
<td>-30.9</td>
<td>-8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>-7.3</td>
<td>-16.7</td>
<td>-9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>-9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>-19.1</td>
<td>-29.2</td>
<td>-10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>-5.7</td>
<td>-9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>-18.6</td>
<td>-27.8</td>
<td>-9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>-8.0</td>
<td>-13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-18.6</td>
<td>-19.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Haver, as of 24 Nov 2022.

Table shows the performance of various national equity markets in local currency terms, US dollar terms and the contribution to return of foreign exchange movements. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. They are shown for illustrative purposes only and do not represent the performance of any specific investment. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Real results may vary.
**WHAT TO DO NOW?**

While investing in 2022 was deeply challenging, any one year represents a mere “moment” in the lifetime of a portfolio. And even though the economic environment in 2023 may prove to be difficult, the greatest risk at times like these comes not from enduring the turbulent conditions but from trying to avoid them by market timing.

Given the high probability of recession in the US and elsewhere in 2023, we enter the year with a defensive asset allocation, albeit fully invested. However, as 2023 unfolds we will take a dynamic approach to tactical asset allocation. As markets ultimately find a bottom, our positioning is set to evolve toward equities and alternatives that anticipate a recovery.

As a first step toward building portfolios for the year ahead and beyond, investors should assess their present positioning. To help our existing clients in this review, our Outlook Watchlist report can review your exposure to key sources of potential risk and return, including our long-term investment themes. We can then discuss actionable strategies to help you adjust your allocation for the coming year and beyond. Please note this is not available to prospective clients at this time.

Outlook 2023 is your roadmap to understanding the route to economic recovery. Let us be your partner and guide on this road to recovery.
Expect the unexpected: How we might be wrong

While we expect recession in 2023, it could be deeper than we expect – or not happen at all. We consider this and other risks to our views in both directions.

- Monetary tightening amid ongoing supply shocks will likely hurt economic growth in 2023, albeit with inflationary pressure diminishing
- Self-reinforcing, 1970s-style inflation would force key central banks to drive a much harder economic landing than we expect
- But if inflation fades quickly, the US economy particularly has a small chance of avoiding recession
- US-China military escalation or a complete breakdown of trade relations are major if improbable risks for the world economy
- Issues over Russia’s oil exports and Ukraine’s agricultural exports could still cause disruptions
- A large-scale cyberattack also could potentially create widespread economic damage
- In the face of all these and other risks, we advocate globally diversified asset allocation, in line with your specific investment objective
The past three years have seen both the fastest pace of economic contraction on record and the fastest recovery. The period has also seen a new ground war in Europe on a scale not seen since World War II, with nuclear warnings from global leaders for the first time since the 1980s. Relations between the US and China are critically important to both sides yet precarious. In short, this is not an environment in which to have overly confident views.

In response to nuclear rhetoric from Russia, President Biden drew parallels with the Cuban Missile Crisis. The 35-day standoff in October-November 1962 arose when the USSR sought to station nuclear weapons on the island just 90 miles (145 km) from the US mainland. It stands as a powerful example of binary geopolitical risk. It is widely regarded as the closest the world has come to nuclear warfare since the end of World War II.

The leaders of the US and USSR pulled back from the doomsday scenario. Subsequently, the world economy grew strongly between 1963 and 1969. The US economy grew an average 4.3% annually during the period, including a recession that began fully eight years later. With one short bear market to endure, investors enjoyed strong equity market returns over most of the remaining decade.

Within the short period of grave nuclear risk that could have ended very differently for all of humanity, US equities dropped less than 10% before regaining it all and more. So, what is the lesson of the Cuban Missile Crisis for investors? Among many conclusions, we would highlight that 90% of geopolitical shocks since and including WWII have not caused turning points in economic activity - FIGURE 1.

But what about the exceptions? World War II was a grave catastrophe for humanity that deserves special consideration. That conflict aside, the OPEC oil embargo of late 1973 catalyzed a worldwide recession and higher consumer prices at the same time.

The present Russia-Ukraine war - along with the first Gulf War of 1990 and Iraq-Iran war beginning in 1980 - has strong similarities to the OPEC embargo shock. Each of these events had significant negative regional impacts and some notable global effects. Importantly, central banks have never been able immediately to offset the inflationary impact of supply shocks no matter what their hoped-for inflation targets might have been.

The risk of overlapping shocks

Today, we worry about overlapping shocks and the willingness of the US to pursue multiple problems at the same time, creating “joint probability risk.” Monetary tightening, strategic competition with China and isolating Russia are all being pursued simultaneously, raising the likelihood that a trigger event will cause a cascade of impacts that ripple across world markets and the economy.

The decision of the US administration to limit US content in China’s computing industry - particularly advanced semiconductor equipment - was expected. However, the extent of the US’ actions went much further than most investors ever expected. It included prohibitions on “unlicensed” US citizens from working for Chinese firms in producing advanced chips.

As COVID-related supply chain disruptions highlight, there are acute vulnerabilities in the trade of intermediate products such as semiconductors. Disruptions could hamper a much larger share of the economy than the value of individual components might imply. The world’s vast dependency on Taiwan-sourced semiconductors represents such a concentrated supply risk in our view - FIGURE 2.

November 2022’s meeting between Presidents Biden and Xi helped boost confidence that neither side seeks immediate escalation - see Asia: Broader re-opening to enable regional recovery. The world can only hope that the G2 superpowers continue to prevent their strategic competition from evolving into conflict.

If inflation persists

Massive fiscal and monetary stimulus in response to the COVID shock tested the global economy’s “speed limit.” Policymakers took too much for granted, and inflation surged worldwide. Large shifts in demand within the economy led to shortages of goods. Some consumers were willing to purchase these goods at much higher prices.

Following a surge in goods prices and a temporary drop in employment, US consumers turned their sights on labor-intensive services. Demand persistence - and a labor force still suffering from COVID distortions - has had second-order impact on wages. These have risen by the most on a per-person basis since the early 1980s.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geopolitical event</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>S&amp;P 500 (% since event date)</th>
<th>Nikkei (% since event date)</th>
<th>MSCI World ex USA (% since event date)</th>
<th>DXY Dollar Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Initial Reaction 30 days 90 days</td>
<td>Initial Reaction 30 days 90 days</td>
<td>Initial Reaction 30 days 90 days</td>
<td>Initial Reaction 30 days 90 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuban Missile Crisis</td>
<td>19 Oct 1962</td>
<td>-3.78 7.61 17.16</td>
<td>-15.93 -12.49 -7.64</td>
<td>-10.45 -17.01 -16.07</td>
<td>-0.20 -0.23 -0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arab oil embargo</td>
<td>18 Oct 1973</td>
<td>-2.27 5.37 -7.78</td>
<td>0.57 2.63 0.68</td>
<td>3.94 3.94 11.85</td>
<td>-1.06 -0.71 5.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USSR invades Afghanistan</td>
<td>24 Dec 1979</td>
<td>-2.27 5.37 -7.78</td>
<td>0.57 2.63 0.68</td>
<td>3.94 3.94 11.85</td>
<td>-1.06 -0.71 5.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US bombs Libya</td>
<td>15 Apr 1986</td>
<td>2.95 -1.39 0.16</td>
<td>3.09 3.73 16.08</td>
<td>0.00 6.19 8.16</td>
<td>-4.15 -4.80 -5.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US invades Panama</td>
<td>15 Dec 1989</td>
<td>-2.06 -3.73 -3.43</td>
<td>0.63 -3.71 -14.63</td>
<td>0.00 3.67 -7.04</td>
<td>0.31 -1.69 -0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf War</td>
<td>24 Dec 1990</td>
<td>-4.16 0.09 12.10</td>
<td>-6.95 -4.43 10.47</td>
<td>1.75 1.75 15.97</td>
<td>-0.21 -3.61 4.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Trade Center bombing</td>
<td>26 Feb 1993</td>
<td>-0.31 1.67 2.04</td>
<td>-0.44 12.36 23.00</td>
<td>0.00 8.52 18.62</td>
<td>0.18 -1.15 -4.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>911</td>
<td>11 Sep 2001</td>
<td>-11.60 0.45 4.34</td>
<td>-6.28 1.48 3.68</td>
<td>-8.48 3.24 5.48</td>
<td>-1.08 0.29 1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US invades Iraq</td>
<td>20 Mar 2003</td>
<td>2.49 2.06 15.57</td>
<td>4.77 -1.02 12.94</td>
<td>1.53 4.58 22.05</td>
<td>0.84 -1.85 -7.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORTH KOREA–RELATED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean War</td>
<td>23 Jun 1950</td>
<td>-12.80 -8.67 1.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation Paul Bunyan</td>
<td>18 Aug 1976</td>
<td>-3.15 1.64 -4.32</td>
<td>-0.75 -0.21 -4.52</td>
<td>0.00 -0.26 -7.60</td>
<td>0.07 -0.57 -0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 nuclear test</td>
<td>25 Apr 2009</td>
<td>-1.28 5.09 13.05</td>
<td>-2.46 6.92 14.20</td>
<td>-2.32 12.28 21.21</td>
<td>0.52 -5.54 -7.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016 nuclear test</td>
<td>9 Sep 2016</td>
<td>-2.55 -0.81 2.97</td>
<td>-2.03 0.39 10.65</td>
<td>-2.06 -0.81 -0.72</td>
<td>-0.01 1.36 6.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017 escalation</td>
<td>7 Jul 2017</td>
<td>-0.24 -0.64 4.44</td>
<td>-0.30 -3.89 12.43</td>
<td>-0.26 -0.49 3.60</td>
<td>0.23 -1.22 1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLITICAL EVENTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nixon/Watergate</td>
<td>15 Mar 1974</td>
<td>-1.72 -7.28 -8.04</td>
<td>-1.80 1.05 4.42</td>
<td>0.00 -2.57 -6.12</td>
<td>-1.04 -1.57 -2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinton intern scandal</td>
<td>20 Aug 1998</td>
<td>-12.30 -6.20 5.59</td>
<td>-8.34 -11.66 -6.74</td>
<td>-12.75 -12.75 -6.37</td>
<td>-1.76 -5.18 -6.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brexit</td>
<td>23 Jun 2016</td>
<td>-2.30 4.30 3.72</td>
<td>-6.93 3.50 4.62</td>
<td>-5.31 -0.37 1.70</td>
<td>1.85 4.00 2.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Haver, as of 14 Oct 2022. Table lists select geopolitical events since the Pearl Harbor attacks of 1941 until Russia's invasion of Ukraine, and the associated initial, 30-day and 90-day performances of the S&P 500 Index, crude oil, the MSCI World Index ex USA and US dollar Index. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Real results may vary. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. Index returns do not include any expenses, fees or sales charges, which would lower performance. They are shown for illustrative purposes only.
US labor markets today are more competitive and the economy is more open than in the 1960s-1980s period. Nonetheless, many Fed policymakers fear inflation will develop “a life of its own” and persist beyond the initial sources of instability. Indeed, if wages and services prices beyond shelter costs fail to decelerate, the Fed would likely maintain a restrictive monetary policy deep into a US economic contraction and with little regard for wider global impact. This is the most likely path to a deeper economic contraction than we expect, and one that does not depend on any new external shocks.

If inflation slows quickly

Given our pessimistic near-term outlook, we must acknowledge upside risk to our views. Since early 2020, US employment has only grown 0.7%, far from a boom. Exogenous inflation – arising from a variety of outside shocks including pandemic impact and conflict-driven trade disruptions – held the economy back but this drag on consumer incomes is already diminishing. While the most reliable long-term leading indicator of the US economy – the yield curve – is signaling recession for the coming year, no indicator is flawless. The near-term outlook is one of still-rising US employment and falling inflation. This is a brief window for the Fed to “de-escalate” its tightening campaign. After all, unlike the 1970s-1980s period, inflation expectations remain very contained. Price-resistant consumers are likely to help the Fed by reining in demand and will not assume wages will accelerate.

Unfortunately, the most likely case for the economy is one of both weakening growth and slowing inflation. We believe the lagged impact of the Fed’s very potent action to date will
find its way into economic activity and trigger an employment contraction within 2023. The Fed is also tightening further and will continue shrinking its balance sheet until something changes its policy.

After a more than doubling of mortgage rates in the US in 2022 - and even more in some other economies with heavy reliance on adjustable rates - the housing market of 2023 doesn't support the same level of employment - FIGURE 3. While we do not have the same detailed history of construction categories, since the end of WWII, all periods of at least a year or more of broad construction employment decline have seen total private employment drop - FIGURE 4.

**FIGURE 4. WHEN CONSTRUCTION EMPLOYMENT FALLS, SO DOES THE OVERALL JOBS MARKET**

Source: Haver, as of 24 Nov 2022. Chart shows percentage year-on-year changes in construction employment and total private industry employment between 1947 and 2022.

1 Haver, as of 20 Nov 2022
2 Our analysis is based on Adaptive Valuation Strategies, the Private Bank's proprietary strategic asset allocation methodology that has a historical database dating back to 1926. Our analysis was performed at an asset class level using indices as a proxy for each asset class. For more details, please see https://www.privatebank.citibank.com/insights/a-new-approach-to-strategic-asset-allocation. All forecasts are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice and are not intended to be a guarantee of future events. Past performance is not indicative of future returns.

**WHAT TO DO NOW?**

There are various major risks to the world economic outlook. The future could involve many different outcomes, not just the one best expressed in our existing asset allocation or where we expect to take it. As the COVID pandemic so brutally reminded us, major but improbable risks are always with us. We thus seek to preserve and grow wealth by way of a diversified asset allocation rather than taking highly concentrated risks in pursuit of the highest returns. While there are specific hedging techniques that your relationship team may recommend based on your suitability and objectives, our analysis shows that strong risk-adjusted returns over the past 80 years have been earned from investment allocations including lowly correlated or negatively correlated assets. Such an allocation can be constructed around suitable risk and return objectives.
Is your portfolio ready for a year of change and opportunity?

While global growth is set to worsen for some of 2023, we also expect markets to start focusing on the recovery that lies beyond. We believe this calls for dynamic portfolios that are ready to pivot as a sequence of potential opportunities unfolds. This includes quality investments amid the present uncertainty and exposure to the sources of long-term growth.

For current clients, our personalized Outlook Watchlist compares your portfolio to the allocation we recommend for you. And our Global Investment Lab’s wider range of tools can highlight other potential opportunities to prepare your portfolio for the years ahead.

Please request your personalized Watchlist report from your relationship team today.
Citi Global Wealth Investments

**OUR POSITIONING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GLOBAL EQUITY</th>
<th>DECEMBER 2021</th>
<th>DECEMBER 2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Developed Equities</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>-6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large US</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Developed ex-US</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>-2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developed Small- and Mid-Cap</td>
<td>-1.0%</td>
<td>-5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thematic Equities</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Market Equities</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GLOBAL FIXED INCOME</th>
<th>DECEMBER 2021</th>
<th>DECEMBER 2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Developed Investment Grade</td>
<td>-8.0%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Investment Grade</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developed High Yield</td>
<td>-1.5%</td>
<td>-1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thematic Fixed Income</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Market Debt</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>-0.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Cash | -1.0% | -1.0% |
| Thematic Commodities: Gold | 0.0% | 2.0% |

**Opportunities**

- More defensive equities for the near term, including dividend growers
- Quality short- to intermediate-term US dollar fixed income, such as Treasuries and investment-grade rated corporates/munis/preferreds
- Various “deep value” non-US dollar assets (such as income-producing real estate) once the US dollar peaks
- Tailored investments that take advantage of higher rates and volatility to provide yield and/or market participation with embedded downside hedges
- Tailored investments delivering immediate yield or exposure to markets at entry points below current spot prices
- Digitization, such as robotics, semiconductor equipment, cyber security, fintech and real estate
- Strategies around e-commerce logistics, multifamily homes and quality, sustainable offices
- Alternative strategies positioned for distressed lending/recapitalization
- Companies driving the transition to secure cleaner sources of energy
- Healthcare equities, including pharmaceutical biologics, life science tools, value-based care and agtech
- Potential beneficiaries of G2 polarization as supply chains are reconfigured, including sectors in India, Southeast Asia and Mexico

---

Figures are active over- and underweights on our [GIC Risk Level 3 Portfolio](#).
Source: Office of the Chief Investment Strategist, as of 1 Dec 2022.
Better long-term returns ahead

2022 saw valuations fall across asset classes. This points to potentially higher returns over the coming decade, according to our proprietary methodology.

- Our strategic asset allocation methodology predicts higher returns over the decade
- Meanwhile, many investors are sitting on excess cash in their portfolios
- History suggests this is likely to prove a costly mistake over time
- Our Investment Philosophy calls for fully invested, globally diversified portfolios throughout economic cycles

GREGORY VAN INWEGEN
Global Head of Quantitative Research and Asset Allocation, Citi Investment Management

PAISAN LIMRATANAMONGKOL
Head of Quantitative Research and Asset Allocation, Citi Investment Management
Being an investor was very tough in 2022. A rare simultaneous selloff across many risk assets and the highest quality government bonds meant diversification failed for a time. Put simply, there was almost nowhere to hide, as the final column in FIGURE 1 shows. However, this cloud has a long-term silver lining. The broad-based declines have driven many asset valuations down to levels that imply more rewarding future returns, according to our proprietary strategic asset allocation methodology.

Adaptative Valuation Strategies (AVS) looks out over a ten-year horizon. It uses current asset class valuations to produce annualized return forecasts or “Strategic Return Estimates” (SRE) for the decade ahead. This is based on the insight that lower current valuations have given way to higher returns over time, whereas higher valuations have been followed by lower returns. It then allocates to each asset class according to its outlook for returns.

For Global Equities, AVS has an SRE of 10.0% out to 2033 - FIGURE 1. Within this, Emerging Market Equities - shares from economies such as China, India and Brazil - have an SRE of 13.6%. Developed Market Equities - shares from economies such as the US, most of Europe and Japan - have an SRE of 9.5%. For context, the SRE for Global Equities in the middle of 2022 was 8.3%.

Cheaper bond valuations also point to higher returns. Investment-Grade Fixed Income - which includes bonds from the most creditworthy sovereign and corporate issuers - now has an SRE of 4.6%. This is up from 3.4% in mid-2022, mainly due to interest rate hikes which pushed bond yields up globally. Despite selling off alongside equities in 2022, this asset class has been less correlated to equities over time, helping investors to build diversified portfolios.

The SRE for High-Yield (HY) Fixed Income - bonds issued by less creditworthy corporate borrowers - has increased to 7.4%. Similarly, the SRE for Emerging Market Fixed Income - bonds issued by emerging country governments and companies - has increased to 7.8%. The SRE for Cash has risen to 3.4%, meanwhile.

In alternative asset classes, the SRE for Hedge Funds has risen to 9.5%. As at the mid-year stage, Private Equity remains the asset class with the highest SRE at 18.6%. This SRE is derived from small-cap public equity valuations, which are at historically cheap levels. By contrast, the SRE for Real Estate has only edged up to 10.6%.

Source: Citi Global Wealth Investments Global Asset Allocation team.

2023 SREs are based on data as of 31 Oct 2022. Global Equity consists of Developed and Emerging Market Equity. Global Fixed Income consists of Investment–Grade, High–Yield and Emerging Market Fixed Income. Strategic Return Estimates are in US dollars; all estimates are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice and are not intended to be a guarantee of future events. Strategic Return Estimates are no guarantee of future performance. Citi Private Bank Global Asset Allocation Team. SREs for Mid-Year 2022 are based on data as of 30 Apr 2022. Returns estimated in US dollars. Strategic Return Estimates (SRE) based on indices are Citi Private Bank’s forecast of returns for specific asset classes (to which the index belongs) over a 10–year time horizon. Indices are used to proxy for each asset class. The forecast for each specific asset class is made using a proprietary methodology that is appropriate for that asset class. Equity asset classes utilize a proprietary forecasting methodology based on the assumption that equity valuations revert to their long–term trend over time. The methodology is built around specific valuation measures that require several stages of calculation. Assumptions on the projected growth of earnings and dividends are additionally applied to calculate the SRE of the equity asset class. Fixed Income asset class forecasts use a proprietary forecasting methodology that is based on current yield levels. Other asset classes utilize other specific forecasting methodologies. Each SRE does not reflect the deduction of client advisory fees and/or transaction expenses. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Future rates of return cannot be predicted with certainty. The actual rate of return on investments can vary widely. This includes the potential loss of principal on your investment. It is not possible to invest directly in an index. SRE information shown above is hypothetical, not the actual performance of any client account. Hypothetical information reflects the application of a model methodology and selection of securities in hindsight. No hypothetical record can completely account for the impact of financial risk in actual trading. See Glossary for definitions.

* AVS SRE methodology was enhanced in 2022 and mid-year SREs reported reflect this enhancement.
FIGURE 2: GLOBAL MULTI-ASSET CLASS DIVERSIFICATION VS A CASH-HEAVY ALLOCATION SINCE 1985

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>31 Dec 1985 to 31 Oct 2022</th>
<th>AVS Risk Level 3 allocation</th>
<th>Cash-heavy allocation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Developed Market Equity</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Market Equity</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment–Grade Fixed Income</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High–Yield Fixed Income</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Market Fixed Income</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedge Funds</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodities</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANNUALIZED MEAN RETURN</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANNUALIZED VOLATILITY</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Citi Global Wealth Investments Global Asset Allocation team, as of 31 Oct 2022.

The performance of the AVS Global USD Risk Level 3 and the cash-heavy portfolio was calculated on an asset class level using indices to proxy for each asset class.

1 Net performance results for both portfolios reflect a deduction of 2.5% maximum fee that can be charged in connection with advisory services that covers advisory fees and transaction costs. Individuals cannot directly invest in an index. The performance is for illustrative purposes only.

2 These are preliminary asset allocations for 2023. All performance information shown above is hypothetical, not the actual performance of any client account. Hypothetical information reflects the application of a model methodology and selection of securities in hindsight. No hypothetical record can completely account for the impact of financial risk in actual trading. For example, there are numerous factors related to the equities, fixed income or commodities markets in general which cannot be and have not been accounted for in the preparation of hypothetical performance information, all of which can affect actual performance. The returns shown above are for indices and do not represent the result of actual trading of investable assets/securities. The asset classes used to populate the allocation model may underperform their respective indices and lead to lower performance than the model anticipates.

Having been the top performing asset class in 2022, Commodities are not expected to do so well over the next ten years. Indeed, its SRE of 2.4% is the lowest of the ten asset classes that AVS addresses, even below Cash.

The perils of hoarding cash

The turmoil in 2022 has left many investors in a highly cautious mode. A common reaction we encounter is holding large amounts of cash, perhaps as much as one-third of a total portfolio, with equal proportions in equities and fixed income. And in fact, certain financial professionals, influenced by clients’ behavior, may be tempted to recommend such an allocation in the wake of market shocks. How would such an allocation have performed over time compared to one created by AVS?

FIGURE 2 shows an AVS Global US dollar allocation at Risk Level 3. This is intended for an investor seeking modest capital appreciation and capital preservation. Given this investor’s moderate appetite for risk, some allocation to alternative and illiquid asset classes are suitable.

The bottom two rows in FIGURE 2 show the hypothetical performance of these two allocations over the past 37 years. Over the entire period, the AVS Risk Level 3 allocation would have outperformed the cash-heavy allocation portfolio by an annualized 2.3%. Hypothetically in dollar amounts, an initial investment of $1 million would have become $7.5 million for the Level 3 allocation, while the “cash-heavy” allocation would have grown to just $3 million. That said, its volatility is also lower, at 5.5% versus 9.0%. However, this is less risk than an investor at Risk Level 3 could take. As a result, they are inappropriately sacrificing performance potential by having too little risk exposure.
At moments of crisis, a cash-heavy approach has tended to outperform, but this can come at great cost. For example, consider these two sets of allocations in August 2008, just before the major selloff in risk assets – FIGURE 3. By the subsequent market lows seven months later (March 2009), the cash-heavy approach would have declined only by 17%, compared to 27% for the AVS Risk Level 3 allocation. However, after this point, both began to recover and reached breakeven in 20 and 13 months respectively. Thus, the AVS Risk Level 3 allocation recovered much faster than the cash-heavy allocation. Ten years later, the cash-heavy allocation would have returned only 21%, compared to 50% for the AVS Risk Level 3 allocation.

**WHAT TO DO NOW?**

Forecast 10-year returns have risen across all asset classes, albeit in some cases more than others. Nevertheless, many investors are sitting on excess cash, whose outlook has also improved from very low to modest levels. Our Investment Philosophy suggests this will prove an expensive mistake over time. We advocate fully invested, globally diversified portfolios for the long term, aligned to an appropriate strategic asset allocation.

Is your portfolio following a customized long-term plan?

---

**FIGURE 3. GLOBAL MULTI-ASSET DIVERSIFICATION VS CASH-HEAVY ALLOCATION AFTER THE GLOBAL FINANCIAL CRISIS**

*CUMULATIVE RETURN AFTER GFC 2008*

---

Source: Citi Global Wealth Investments Global Asset Allocation team, as of 31 Oct 2022.

The performance of the AVS Global USD Risk Level 3 and the cash-heavy portfolio was calculated on an asset class level using indices to proxy for each asset class.

1 Net performance results for both portfolios reflect a deduction of 2.5% maximum fee that can be charged in connection with advisory services that covers advisory fees and transaction costs. Individuals cannot directly invest in an index. The performance is for illustrative purposes only.

2 These are preliminary asset allocations for 2023. All performance information shown above is hypothetical, not the actual performance of any client account. Hypothetical information reflects the application of a model methodology and selection of securities in hindsight. No hypothetical record can completely account for the impact of financial risk in actual trading. For example, there are numerous factors related to the equities, fixed income or commodities markets in general which cannot be and have not been accounted for in the preparation of hypothetical performance information, all of which can affect actual performance. The returns shown above are for indices and do not represent the result of actual trading of investable assets/securities. The asset classes used to populate the allocation model may underperform their respective indices and lead to lower performance than the model anticipates.
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It is time to put excess cash to work

Rising rates and volatile markets unsettled investors in 2022. The new resulting higher rate environment creates potential for seeking portfolio income.

- Difficult market conditions increased the temptation to sit on excess cash
- But 2022’s turmoil has also created more possibilities for putting cash to work
- Our expectation is for interest rates to peak and inflation to decline before long
- We favor various short-term US dollar-denominated bonds and dividend grower equities
- Suitable clients may consider select alternatives and capital markets strategies

STEVEN WETING
Chief Investment Strategist and Chief Economist
Seeking refuge from stormy conditions is a fundamental human instinct. When financial markets are in turmoil, this often manifests itself as an urge to switch from risk assets to cash. After all, sitting on the sidelines in cash can help you avoid the emotions that come from seeing a big drawdown in your portfolio's value. It can also give you hope of buying risk assets later at a lower price.

In 2022, the temptation to sit in cash was powerful for many investors. A rare simultaneous selloff in equities and bonds – as well as in many other asset classes – made the environment especially difficult. Cash did generate a small gain in nominal terms, making it the year’s second-best performer of ten broad asset classes – see Better long-term returns ahead.

With recession likely in the US and elsewhere in 2023, heightened uncertainty looks set to persist for now. Nevertheless, we believe that holding excess cash is risky. History has shown that trying to time an entry into the markets almost always fails. One reason for this is that missing out on the gains at the start of a market recovery can seriously dent long-term performance.

Amid the uncertainty, we see various ways to put cash to work and seek portfolio income. Indeed, we believe the conditions that made life so challenging for investors in 2022 have also created potential opportunities.

**FIGURE 1. THE COST OF MARKET TIMING**

**HYPOTHETICAL GROWTH OF 10,000 USD IN S&P 500 SINCE JAN 1990**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Missing top # days</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>$16,130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>$35,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$95,205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$131,251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Period</td>
<td>$207,811</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Haver and Bloomberg, as of 29 Sep, 2022. Hypothetical performance results have many inherent limitations. The portfolio performance and return information reflects the benefit of hindsight and does not reflect the impact that material economic and market factors might have had on decision making of the Investment Lab or its affiliates. The trades of the simulated performance results have not actually been executed, the results may have under- or over-compensated for the impact of certain economic and market factors, such as lack of liquidity. Also, hypothetical trading cannot fully consider the impact of financial risk, such as ability to withstand losses. An investor’s investment in an actual portfolio will be made in different economic and market conditions than those applicable during the period presented. It should not be assumed that an actual investor portfolio will experience returns comparable to the portfolio performance and return information presented herein. As a result of market activity following the date of the period presented, current performance may be different from that shown herein. All forecasts are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice and are not intended to be a guarantee of future results. Real results may vary.

Chart shows the hypothetical performance of a market timing investor. Had such an investor missed only the ten highest returning days in the S&P 500 Index since 1990 – a period encompassing almost 8,000 trading days – their overall return would have been less than half of that of an investor who stayed fully invested. The short-term comfort of holding cash at stressful moments comes at a disproportionately large cost.
The US Federal Reserve’s interest rate hikes in 2022 were the fastest in its history. This proved painful for many assets, but particularly for growth equities and longer term bonds. However, the resulting higher interest rate environment has left certain yields looking attractive once more. As FIGURE 2 shows, though, these are not to be found in money market funds.

When it comes to certain US dollar-denominated bonds, however, it’s a different story. Yields on a range of assets have risen to levels not seen in some years. And with the interest rate hiking cycle perhaps nearing completion and inflation set to retreat in 2023, we see potential for Pursuing portfolio income with short- and intermediate-term bonds.

We also favor dividend growth equities, those with a track record of growing shareholder payouts throughout economic cycles. Over time, these consistent dividend equities have outperformed their more dynamic “growth” counterparts, rather like the tortoise beating the hare – see Why dividend grower “tortoises” may be core holdings.

For suitable investors, we see potential for seeking to turn equity market volatility into a source of income – see Why capital markets are more important than ever. Likewise, we set out the case for various private market strategies – see Alternative investments may enhance cash yields.

Higher interest rates have reshaped the investment landscape. Do not assume you will get security from holding excess cash. Rather, this is a time for putting liquid resources to work.
Pursuing portfolio income with short-term bonds

Yields have risen sharply across 2022. This has created income-seeking opportunities in US dollar short-term issues.

- Fed tightening has driven bond prices down sharply, driving short-term rates to their highest since 2008
- We believe the peak of the Fed hiking cycle may be coming into view
- As such, 2023 could bring opportunities to add shorter term, less volatile US-denominated bonds to portfolios
- Potential opportunities include shorter term US Treasuries, investment-grade credit, munis and preferred securities
Yesterday’s bad news may be today’s opportunity. US dollar-denominated fixed income suffered its worst total return in many decades in 2022. As of 22 November 2022, the Bloomberg US Aggregate Index total return for the year was negative 13.3%, with sub-indices representing US investment-grade debt negative by 16.1%, US high yield shedding 11.2% and the US dollar-denominated emerging markets debt index 17.6% lower. Even US Treasury Inflation Protected Securities (TIPS) were down 12%. In sum, fixed income investors had nowhere to hide.

What caused the selloff? From a starting level of almost 0%, the Fed has raised its policy rates 375 basis points (bps) in an effort to choke off stubbornly high inflation, as of 3 November 2022. The market expects a bit more to come, with the Fed funds rate seen ending 2022 at 4.5%. Potential additional hikes in 2023 would take the terminal rate - the peak of the rate-hiking cycle - to about 5.0%.

Unlike the Fed, the market has priced in a very brief stay at the terminal rate in 2023, followed by at least one rate cut by the end of 2023 - FIGURE 1. We believe the impact of higher rates will hurt global economic growth as more cashflow goes to servicing debt, while also raising US unemployment as discretionary spending falls and sectors such as housing see a collapse in demand and construction.
As the Fed funds rate is likely to be around 4.5% by the end of 2022, we think the rate-hiking cycle will be nearing completion. As such, 2023 could bring a major opportunity to add shorter term, less volatile bonds to portfolios to lock in peak interest rates. “Shorter term” in this case means any issues with four years to maturity or less, although this will depend on your overall investment objectives and suitability. If rates keep rising, longer duration bonds will suffer greater losses.

The main reason we prefer shorter term instruments – in addition to their high historical yields – is that typically mark-to-market losses experienced in these instruments will be earned back once the bonds repay at maturity. Below, we present some alternatives to consider for investing in shorter term bonds.

**US Treasuries**

US Treasuries come in many different maturities, but the shorter maturities offer high rates by recent past standards. Also, Treasuries offer more liquidity and generally more yield than bank certificates of deposit (CDs), which typically can pay 50-100bps less than the same maturity Treasury. In addition, US Treasuries of course are issued by the US government so, unlike bank CDs, do not carry credit risk.

These nominally high risk-free US government rates are also high compared to expected headline inflation as measured by TIPS – **FIGURE 2**.

![FIGURE 2. TREASURY RATES HIGH COMPARED TO EXPECTED HEADLINE INFLATION](image-url)

Source: Bloomberg, as of 21 Nov 2022. All forecasts are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice and are not intended to be a guarantee of future events. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. They are shown for illustrative purposes only and do not represent the performance of any specific investment. Index returns do not include any expenses, fees or sales charges, which would lower performance. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Real results may vary.

Chart shows the nominal yield on 2–year US Treasuries and the yield on 2–year US Treasury Inflation Protected Securities (TIPS).
Investment-grade credit

Corporate bonds have higher rates than Treasuries, depending on their relative credit risk. From a repayment perspective, the least risky of these would be short-term investment-grade-related (IG) bonds issued by large, healthy companies with low levels of debt compared to earnings. In contrast, lower rated high-yield bonds with higher levels of debt to earnings generally have more repayment risk. The short-term IG index comprises debt of 1- to 3-year maturities, with low average duration - or price sensitivity to interest rate changes - of about 1.9 years. The IG index currently yields about 5.34%, almost 1% above comparable maturity (i.e., 2 year) Treasury bonds - FIGURE 3.

Besides investing in an index, investors may consider owning individual bonds, as there may be higher yield levels on individual IG-rated bonds for investors who understand the credit risk of the issuer. An index is an “average” of yields, so today there are numerous examples of high-quality credits that pay above index yields. For example, many of the largest US banks currently have bonds of less than three years’ maturity that yield near or above 5%. For those wishing to seek returns above those of the index, actively managed fixed income strategies are a wise consideration.

Source: Bloomberg, as of 21 Nov 2022. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Real results may vary. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. They are shown for illustrative purposes only and do not represent the performance of any specific investment. Index returns do not include any expenses, fees or sales charges, which would lower performance. See Glossary for definitions. Chart shows the yields on US short-term investment-grade corporate fixed income and 2-year US Treasuries.
US municipals

For investors eligible for the tax advantages, highly rated US municipals (“munis”) may be an interesting short-term fixed income opportunity. Munis generally pay a “tax-equivalent yield” near the equivalent Treasury yield - **FIGURE 5** - but sometimes that tax-adjusted yield can be higher than Treasuries, as it was earlier this year. When that occurs, the tax-equivalent yield, especially for US taxpayers in high-tax states, can offer similar yields to investment grade, for government credit risk.

Floating/short-callable preferred securities

Investment-grade preferred securities may also add yield to portfolios, albeit with some additional risk. These securities are typically issued by banks, utilities and insurance companies. If the issuer went into liquidation, preferred holders would rank below owners of the company’s senior debt but just above equity owners when it came to repayment.

By design, most global preferred securities do not have a maturity date. However, most variable-rate preferred securities have the right to return principal to a preferred investor on a pre-determined “call” date. What if the issuer chooses not to call in the security and return principal at that time? Future coupon payments will either float in line with a benchmark such as SOFR or would be reset at prevailing Treasury yields. The details depend on the issuer and the preferred involved.

Historically, issuers have always refinanced preferreds when interest rates were falling rather than rising. As such, investors who received principal back today would have an opportunity to reinvest money back into the market at much higher yields. If issuers choose not to return principal to investors, most of these securities – which were issued when rates were much lower – would see their coupons either float or get reset at higher coupon levels. Preferreds can be bought both individually and through funds.

**FIGURE 4. MUNI YIELDS ARE ATTRACTIVE FOR TAX-ADVANTAGED INVESTORS**

![Chart showing muni yields comparison](chart.png)

Source: Bloomberg, as of 23 Nov 2022. Note: Tax-equivalent yields (TEY) adjust for top Federal and Affordable Care Act tax rate (40.8%). The SIFMA Municipal Swap Index is a 7–day investment-grade market index comprising tax-exempt VRDOs reset rates that are calculated weekly. All forecasts are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice and are not intended to be a guarantee of future events. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. They are shown for illustrative purposes only and do not represent the performance of any specific investment. Index returns do not include any expenses, fees or sales charges, which would lower performance. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Real results may vary. See Glossary for definitions. The information contained herein is not intended to be an exhaustive discussion of the strategies or concepts mentioned herein or tax or legal advice. Readers interested in the strategies or concepts should consult their tax, legal or other advisors, as appropriate.

Chart shows the yields on municipal bonds and 2–year US Treasuries between 2000 and 2022.
FIGURE 5. US IG PREFERREDS YIELDS HAVE CLIMBED LATELY

Source: Bloomberg, as of 24 Nov 2022. All forecasts are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice and are not intended to be a guarantee of future events. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. They are shown for illustrative purposes only and do not represent the performance of any specific investment. Index returns do not include any expenses, fees or sales charges, which would lower performance. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Real results may vary. See Glossary for definitions.

Chart shows the yields for IG Capital Securities as represented by the ICE BofA US Investment Grade Capital Securities Index and 5-year US Treasuries.

WHAT TO DO NOW?

We believe that investors should review their asset allocations presently and consider adding highly rated short-term fixed income securities to a diversified portfolio. These may offer high income even after expected inflation, with low credit risk. In addition, should opportunities arise in 2023 in other asset classes such as equities or lower rated credit, short-term securities are typically liquid and can be sold quickly to generate cash to redeploy into these new potential opportunities.
Why dividend grower “tortoises” may be core holdings

Consistently dividend-paying equities may continue strongly into 2023. And while history points to weaker performance once investors anticipate economic recovery, we believe these income-producing assets should be considered for the long term.

- Dividend grower equities have outperformed growth and other styles amid 2022’s turmoil
- Historically, over the long run, such equities have also performed better than their growth counterparts, like the tortoise outpacing the hare
- Early-stage recoveries, however, are typically the one period of the cycle where the tortoise typically loses to the hare
- Given our outlook, we would expect a shift in equity market leadership at some point in 2023 but continue to see long-term value in dividend growth for core portfolios
Amid 2022’s turbulence, the global equity market offered few refuges. Aside from certain commodity-related sectors, equities broadly fell as interest rates rose and earnings expectations for 2023 wilted. In this environment, equity investors have prized current income from firms with strong profits and a record of increasing payouts. They have favored such dividend-growing “tortoises” over capital growth-seeking “hares,” often speculative, early-stage ventures.

Of course, this follows a prolonged period where the hares dashed ahead of dividend-growing tortoises. Since the start of 2022, however, the tables have turned. The world’s most consistent dividend growers had outperformed the MSCI AC World Index by 7% as of 1 December 2022. And high dividend yielders – companies with the highest yields but without dividend growers’ track record of consistent payout growth – had outperformed by 8%. Likewise, dividend growers have delivered stronger returns than the tech-laden Nasdaq Composite, with significantly less volatility – FIGURE 1.

**FIGURE 1: QUALITY DIVIDENDS HAVE OUTPERFORMED GROWTH STOCKS**

![Graph showing performance of S&P 500 Dividend Aristocrats vs Nasdaq]

Source: Bloomberg, as of 23 Nov 2022. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. They are shown for illustrative purposes only and do not represent the performance of any specific investment. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Real results may vary. All forecasts are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice, and are not intended to be a guarantee of future events. Chart shows the performance of the S&P Dividend Aristocrats vs Nasdaq since pre-pandemic peak.

**Quality equity income as a core portfolio allocation**

On a multi-year view, dividends can be critical to total returns – FIGURE 2. Over the past 90 years, dividends have contributed nearly 40% of total returns in the S&P 500 Index. Dividend growth equities – as represented by the S&P Dividend Aristocrats Index – have outperformed the S&P 500 over the past 30 years with lower volatility. Even after this year’s outperformance, dividend-paying equities still trade at a 19% discount to broader market indices.

**Seeking quality income, not just high income**

Not all equity income is created equal. We believe quality equity income to be a core allocation within a diversified portfolio. We therefore not only seek out decent dividend yields but also consider payout sustainability. The best run companies generate enough free cash flow to increase dividend payouts while maintaining reinvestment in their operations. Firms that find themselves forced to choose between future business growth and current payouts face more skepticism, as few business models are sustainable without regular cash injections to keep assets up to date while retaining talent.
FIGURE 2: THE CRITICAL IMPORTANCE OF DIVIDENDS AND REINVESTED DIVIDENDS

A quality investment approach also focuses on firm profitability, leverage and rate sensitivity, especially as higher interest rates will make debt costs more onerous in the years ahead. As always, qualitative factors like strong corporate governance and quality management teams are additional considerations that are hard to quantify but often align with long-term dividend sustainability.

The hare might surge ahead in 2023

We have high conviction in dividend growers as a core allocation. However, the one environment where this category tends to underperform is immediately before and in the early stages of a new economic cycle. There is risk that such conditions may arrive at some point in 2023, so we cannot simply extrapolate dividend grower equities’ 2022 performance throughout 2023.

True, we may see dividend grower “tortoises” continue to exhibit lower volatility and stronger performance for a time, particularly if a global recession takes hold next year. Thereafter, though, the most beaten-down parts of the equity markets – including firms with less of a track record of dividend payments – could rally hard as investors anticipate an eventual corporate profits recovery. The hare may be poised for a comeback, in other words.

WHAT TO DO NOW?

At some point in 2023, we envisage early-cycle dynamics taking hold. If so, high-quality dividend growers may rally but less so than racier “hare” equities with high-growth characteristics, which have greater rebound potential given the extent of their selloff. We will likely shift our tactical asset allocation in favor of such shares once we think conditions are right. Throughout the economic cycle, however, we believe clients seeking both portfolio income and principal growth should seek to maintain strategic allocations to quality dividend payers throughout the economic cycle.

Source: Bloomberg, as of 24 Nov 2022. All forecasts are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice and are not intended to be a guarantee of future events. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. They are shown for illustrative purposes only and do not represent the performance of any specific investment. Index returns do not include any expenses, fees or sales charges, which would lower performance. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Real results may vary.

Chart shows the performance of the S&P 500 Index since 1988 on a price and total return basis.
Why capital markets are more important than ever

Capital markets offer access to potential unique opportunities in 2023. Global uncertainty has unleashed greater volatility across many asset classes. Some capital markets strategies seek to convert this volatility into a source of income.

- Owing to geopolitical, economic and COVID uncertainty, equity volatility is well above average
- Amid high inflation, sitting in cash waiting to buy after further equity declines risks loss of purchasing power
- Certain capital markets strategies enable seeking an income while waiting to buy in at lower levels
- We believe “getting paid to wait” can be an attractive opportunity in today’s environment
War in Eastern Europe, intensifying US-China polarization, supply chain dislocation and pandemic aftershocks. Many of us sense that the world is more uncertain today than it has been for many years. And the truth is that financial markets reflect these concerns.

The volatility of the US stock market – as measured by the VIX Index, the market’s estimate of expected volatility in the S&P 500 Index – averaged 15% between 2015 and 2020. Since 2020, that number has risen to 24%, even after stripping out the extraordinary spikes in the early pandemic stages of March and June 2020 - **FIGURE 1**.

Such volatility extends beyond equity markets. As central banks have increased interest rates, fixed income markets and foreign exchange have reacted, with market volatility surging to levels not seen since before the global financial crisis in 2007-08.

The perception and reality of today’s volatility presents challenges for us as investors. When is the right time to invest? What if I invest right before another move-down? Should I just stay in cash for now?

**FIGURE 1. THE NEW HIGHER VOLATILITY REGIME**

![Volatility chart](image)

Source: Bloomberg, as of 24 Nov 2022. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. They are shown for illustrative purposes only and do not represent the performance of any specific investment. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Real results may vary. Chart shows the VIX Index between 2015 and 2022, with the average levels before and since COVID.
Volatility as an investor opportunity

However, what if you could make this volatility work for you rather than against you? Consider two investors, Jack and Jill. Both Jack and Jill are keen to enter the equity market and have cash waiting on the sidelines to do so. Jack anxiously watches the markets, hoping for the market to drop to a level where he would like to buy. Jill, on the other hand, enters a strategy where she gets paid an income on her cash while she waits, in return for potentially buying into the equity market at lower than today’s level, where she would be happy to have exposure.

Six months later, the market has indeed dropped, and Jack invests. Jill automatically buys at this level also, and they both have the same equity exposure that they initially wanted. Jill, however, has income already banked.

But what if the market had never dropped and instead had gone up? Both Jack and Jill are left kicking themselves, as they have missed out on making their investments. But Jill can console herself, as she has income that she received as “payment for waiting,” as well as her originally invested cash. Jack simply rues his lost opportunity, having neither his desired equity exposure nor any income.

WHAT TO DO NOW?

In an uncertain market, strategies such as “getting paid to wait” present a compelling proposition for suitable investors with undeployed cash. With inflation at double-digit levels in many countries, the cost of holding cash has risen dramatically. Investors who refrain from investing now for fear of a further leg down in equities should consider the erosion of their cash’s purchasing power.

Suitable investors seeking to increase their equity exposure should consider their cash position and explore appropriate capital markets strategies for putting it to work. Such opportunities may offer above-average yields or the opportunity to gain exposures to markets at more attractive levels, a trade-off worth considering for sophisticated, suitable investors.
Alternative investments may enhance cash yields

An indiscriminate selloff across fixed income may have created potential opportunities in income-generating alternative investments. For suitable investors, we believe certain strategies may offer diversified ways to enhance portfolio income.

- The great bond selloff has expanded the universe of debt trading at stressed or distressed levels.
- Given difficulties in issuing fresh debt, some companies may have to find other ways to raise capital.
- Forced selling of debt by certain holders creates potential opportunities for specialist strategies.
- We believe managers specializing in credit underwriting, the ability to facilitate capital solutions, and those with distressed restructuring expertise may be best placed for opportunities.

DANIEL O’DONNELL
Global Head of Alternative Investments

MICHAEL YANNELL
Head of Hedge Fund Research
While painful for traditional investors, we believe fixed income market turmoil in 2022 has created a favorable landscape for alternative managers going into 2023. Specifically, we see potential opportunities to take advantage of volatility, capital shortages and episodes of outright stress and/or distress. Specialist managers will use their expertise in underwriting new issues and pursuing event-driven strategies in both public and private credit markets.

Lately, the universe of debt trading at stressed and/or distressed levels has expanded - [FIGURE 1]. This is a result of tighter central bank policy, restrictions on the deployment of bank capital, higher bond yields and widening credit spreads – the latter owing to recessionary fears. We see this bond selloff as broadly indiscriminate, with investors overlooking firm-specific factors that may influence when and how borrowers repay their outstanding debt. As a result, we believe that managers who have insight into issuer quality and potential capital structure events – including refinancings, debt exchanges and outright restructurings – may be able to generate strong total returns.

Spooked by this shakeout and the uncertain outlook for corporate profits, certain capital markets have largely closed to some companies wishing to raise capital. Issuance of high-yield bonds and loans through the end of the third quarter of 2022 stood at just 78% and 61% below levels respectively in the same period in 2021 - [FIGURE 2]. Given such severe debt-issuance constraints, many companies may need to explore alternative ways of raising capital.

**FIGURE 1. PROPORTION OF HIGH-YIELD BOND AND LOAN MARKETS IN DISTRESS**

Source: Citi Research, Citi Leveraged Loan Tracker, FTSE, as of 30 Sep 2022. All forecasts are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice and are not intended to be a guarantee of future events. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. They are shown for illustrative purposes only and do not represent the performance of any specific investment. Index returns do not include any expenses, fees or sales charges, which would lower performance. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Real results may vary. See Glossary for definitions. Chart shows the percentage of US high-yield loans and bonds in distress. Distress is defined here as a bond trading below $60 and a loan trading below $80, where par is $100.
Active alternative investment managers can provide anchor capital for a new debt issue and initiate exchanges of public debt directly with issuers where borrowers receive maturity relief in return for higher yield and/or additional collateral. And private credit managers can work with companies on private financings where terms and collateral packages can be negotiated to provide favorable yields as well as covenants and seek downside protection.

**FIGURE 2. HIGH-YIELD AND LOAN ISSUANCE FELL HARD IN 2022**

Source: Citi Research, S&P/LCD, as of 30 Sep 2022. All forecasts are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice and are not intended to be a guarantee of future events. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. They are shown for illustrative purposes only and do not represent the performance of any specific investment. Index returns do not include any expenses, fees or sales charges, which would lower performance. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Real results may vary.

Charts show issuance of high-yield bonds (left chart) and loans (right chart) in 2022 compared to years going back to 2012.
**Opportunist and special situation credit investing**

Some managers seek to take advantage of forced selling by debt holders. For example, certain mutual funds may experience investor outflows that leave them needing to raise capital, therefore selling debt at prices that entice opportunistic capital from hedge funds or private equity vehicles.

Also, some banks have lately been forced to sell loans they committed to make to private equity managers. To clear this arranged debt off their balance sheets, such banks have had to offer substantial price discounts on quality debt that would have previously been syndicated at or near par.

These cases highlight the potential opportunity to acquire good credits at distressed price levels. For now, of course, default rates remain low. However, the impact of higher yields and slowing economic growth may well be rising default rates over the next year – **FIGURE 3**. In 2020, the speed of the pandemic-induced market decline and the central bank reaction led to a short-lived distressed cycle. This time, we believe it possible that corporate defaults stay elevated for longer. If so, it would create an extended window for specialist managers to take troubled companies through the restructuring process.

![FIGURE 3: HISTORICAL AND FORECAST HIGH-YIELD AND LOAN DEFAULT RATES](chart)

**PORTION OF HIGH-YIELD AND LOAN MARKETS TRADING IN DISTRESS**

Source: Citi Research, Moody, as of 30 Sep 2022. All forecasts are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice and are not intended to be a guarantee of future events. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. They are shown for illustrative purposes only and do not represent the performance of any specific investment. Index returns do not include any expenses, fees or sales charges, which would lower performance. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Real results may vary.

Chart shows the historical and forecast bond and loan default rates between Jan 2001 through Sep 2022, as represented by trailing 12-month default rate.
WHAT TO DO NOW?

Given today's heightened level of uncertainty, we do not see a case for being overweight high-yield credits in general. Instead, we favor selective exposure via skilled bond picking managers, who seek to exploit the wide dispersion in the best and worst performing bonds. We believe those specializing in credit underwriting, those able to work with companies on capital solutions and those with distressed restructuring expertise may be best placed to achieve this.

In the public markets, we prefer managers who can evaluate absolute and relative value, and who focus on events that will seek idiosyncratic returns and upside capture along with downside protection.

In private markets, we believe that managers with flexible capital may be rewarded, allowing them to evaluate financing solutions across the continuum while patiently awaiting the emergence of potential distressed opportunities.

Managers without the requisite scale may be at a competitive disadvantage in this market. We have seen this occur in 2022 in priming transactions. These are where big new or existing lenders that negotiate directly with the company receive new debt with additional collateral that is structurally senior to existing debt; i.e., it has a higher priority of repayment if the borrower goes into liquidation. Also, in a heightened environment for defaults, managers that do not have restructuring expertise and are unable to negotiate favorable outcomes for their part of the capital structure will likely be left with lower recoveries on distressed debt.

Suitable investors should consider their investment objectives and how these potential opportunities might complement their asset allocation.

---

**FIGURE 4: DISTRESSED HEDGE FUND PERFORMANCE AFTER DIFFICULT PERIODS FOR HIGH-YIELD BONDS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DRAWDOWN ANALYSIS HFRI ED: DISTRESSED/RESTRUCTURING</th>
<th>BEGIN</th>
<th>END</th>
<th>HY INDEX DRAWDOWN</th>
<th>HFRI FORWARD 24M RETURN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global financial crisis</td>
<td>31 May ‘07</td>
<td>30 Nov ‘08</td>
<td>-33.2%</td>
<td>34.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early COVID pandemic</td>
<td>31 Jan ‘20</td>
<td>31 Mar ‘20</td>
<td>-13.1%</td>
<td>48.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dot-com bust</td>
<td>30 Apr ‘00</td>
<td>31 Jul ‘02</td>
<td>-12.0%</td>
<td>46.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early 90s recession</td>
<td>31 Jul ‘90</td>
<td>31 Oct ‘90</td>
<td>-11.2%</td>
<td>66.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy sector’s wave of defaults</td>
<td>31 May ‘15</td>
<td>31 Jan ‘16</td>
<td>-9.8%</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVERAGE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-15.9%</td>
<td>44.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Bloomberg, HFR, as of 18 Oct 2022. All forecasts are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice and are not intended to be a guarantee of future events. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. They are shown for illustrative purposes only and do not represent the performance of any specific investment. Index returns do not include any expenses, fees or sales charges, which would lower performance. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Real results may vary. Table shows five significant selloffs in high-yield bonds and the subsequent 24-month returns of the HFRI ED Distressed/Restructuring Index.

Previous periods of market stress have translated into opportunities for hedge fund managers with credit market expertise. We examined the high-yield bond market’s five biggest declines: four recessions including the global financial crisis as well as the 2015-2016 wave of energy sector defaults. Following those episodes, the average 24-month return for the HFRI ED (Distressed/Restructuring Index) was approximately 45% - **FIGURE 4**. And that is simply at the index level; in periods of credit market stress and distress, we believe that skillful manager selection may translate into return capture.
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The transformative power of unstoppable trends

Unstoppable trends are reshaping the world around us. As well as transforming the ways we live and work, they are creating potential long-term opportunities and risks for portfolios.

- Unstoppable trends are powerful long-term forces that are transforming the world economy and everyday life
- They are inherently disruptive, creating both risks and opportunities for your wealth
- We explore the potential of digitization, innovative healthcare, the transition to cleaner and more secure energy and US-China rivalry
The world economy routinely faces minor disasters and disappointments, with multiple conflict zones at any one time. Economic setbacks and recoveries are routine. So are bull and bear market excesses of panic and euphoria. In this environment, it is all too easy to get distracted by developments that seem newsworthy but have little lasting significance. The challenge for us as investors is to try to rise above the din and focus instead upon the forces with the greatest potential to drive long-term economic growth and portfolio performance.

An unstoppable trend is a major, multi-year phenomenon that is likely to transform the world around us. These trends take many forms, including technological advances, demographic developments and new behaviors. They often seem relatively slow moving, although they tend to accelerate over time. Unstoppable trends’ effects present a fundamental challenge or threat to the status quo, ultimately impacting every industry and every investment portfolio.

In recent years, we have made the case for allocating to a variety of unstoppable trends:

**DIGITIZATION** addresses how digital technologies are fundamentally changing almost every industry and human activity, increasing efficiency and convenience, and creating possibilities that did not previously exist.

**G2 POLARIZATION** examines the consequences of the increasing economic and geopolitical rivalry between the G2 powers of China and the US.

**GREENING THE WORLD** sets out the need for a transition to a more sustainable existence across multiple spheres while still delivering continuity of existing energy supplies.

**INCREASING LONGEVITY** explores how the aging of the world’s population will impact future growth and consumption patterns, with an emphasis on healthcare.

In Outlook 2023, we update and reemphasize our case for these unstoppable trends. This reflects ongoing advances in many of the sectors with exposure to these trends. We also acknowledge the falling asset price values associated with these trends in 2022, such as in digitization and renewable energy. In Outlook 2021, we noted that their strong performance had left valuations high and that an unwinding of market distortions was likely as the pandemic subsided. The rising interest rates that have accompanied COVID’s retreat have helped to realize our expectations.

As this experience highlights, investing in unstoppable trends comes with risks. And should interest rate rises continue for longer than we believe likely, related investments could suffer further downside. However, we believe that the greatest risk of all for investors is having insufficient exposure to unstoppable trends and too much exposure to areas most vulnerable to disruption by these forces.

One way to illustrate this is to consider the outcome of two different portfolios. For illustrative purposes, imagine an investor with perfect insight into the near future. They foresaw the tech collapse of early 2000 and “cashed out” of a 100% US equity portfolio after five years of 29% average returns and never reinvested, preferring to sit in interest-bearing cash. Now consider another investor with the same portfolio who remained invested throughout the 78% collapse of Nasdaq from 2000–2002, the 49% drop in the S&P 500 during 2008/2009 and 2022’s double-digit loss. In this example, the investor who suffered the largest market losses in modern times but stayed invested would today have nearly three times the level of inflation-adjusted wealth than the market timer who dodged the great decline but missed the rebound - **FIGURE 1**. This illustrates the potential value of long-term investing in economic development.
**FIGURE 1. STAYING FULLY INVESTED WAS VOLATILE BUT BEAT SITTING IN CASH**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Return</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Bloomberg, as of 22 Nov 2022.

Chart shows the inflation-adjusted return of two hypothetical allocations, one a buy and hold portfolio (Stay Invested Portfolio) which remained fully invested in the S&P 500 Index between Jan 1995 and Nov 2022, and the other portfolio (Timing Portfolio) which sold out of the S&P in Jan 2000, shifted entirely into 3-month Treasury Bills and remained there to Nov 2022. The hypothetical portfolios past performance information set forth above does not represent the performance of an actual portfolio and is no guarantee of future returns of any portfolio. As a result of market activity since the date above, current performance may be different from that shown. Indices on this page are widely recognized, unmanaged indices of major asset classes. For illustrative purposes only. Past performance does not guarantee future results. Real results may vary. All forecasts are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice and are not intended to be a guarantee of future events. Indices are unmanaged and an investor cannot invest directly in an index. All performance information shown above is hypothetical, not the actual performance of any client account. Hypothetical information reflects the application of a model methodology and selection of securities in hindsight. No hypothetical record can completely account for the impact of financial risk in actual trading. See Glossary for definitions.

**WHAT TO DO NOW?**

Despite our longstanding message about the importance of unstoppable trends, we frequently encounter portfolios with insufficient allocations. And the bear market of 2022 has reduced overvaluation dramatically.

Within digitization, we contemplate the growing role of semiconductors, robotics and the metaverse for data creators in the years ahead. We consider how innovations in healthcare could help address the needs of an aging global population and of Asia’s expanding middle class. With greenling the world, we make the case for accelerating the transition to clean energy to help bolster energy security as well as fighting climate change. And we consider the potential beneficiaries of the recently escalated US-China trade war.

Having read the analysis that follows, please contact your Private Banker about actionable strategies for building exposure based on your individual needs.
Investing in unstoppable trends for sustainable outcomes

Unstoppable trends are reshaping how we live and work. Ongoing digital disruption, the need for innovative healthcare, and the transition to clean and secure energy are potential sources of both long-term economic growth and portfolio returns. But that is not all: we believe that investments relating to unstoppable trends can help seek out opportunities generated by a transition to a low-carbon economy and more just society that aims to deliver affordable and accessible solutions across sectors.

Adopted in 2015, the United Nations’ Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) aim to help safeguard people and planet. The 17 interrelated goals address a variety of pressing priorities for humanity. They include zero poverty, good health and well-being, quality education, affordable and clean energy, climate action, and decent work and economic growth.

The SDGs also serve as a roadmap for investors when determining where to deploy their capital, and they offer targets by which to evaluate outcomes. They highlight areas where capital is needed most, with global investment needs around the SDGs estimated at as much as $7 trillion annually.1 We believe that many assets and investment strategies linked to our unstoppable trends can contribute to the pursuit of the SDGs.

The link between certain unstoppable trend-related strategies and sustainability is obvious. Equities in companies that enable the transition to clean and secure energy are a case in point. The same applies to many healthcare firms, including providers that seek to improve patient outcomes, cut medical waste or broaden access to treatment.

Superficially, the connection between digitization and sustainability may not be quite as clear. However, digital technology is pivotal to the pursuit of many of the SDGs. For example, digital solutions are helping to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and conserve water in agriculture; some fintech firms are extending banking and finance to marginalized communities for the first time, such as female entrepreneurs in developing countries; and online education and internet connectivity are enhancing the life chances of people the world over.

Of course, not every asset or investment related to unstoppable trends has sustainable characteristics. Nevertheless, it is possible to construct all or part of an allocation to unstoppable trends following sustainability principles. Individual securities, managed public and private market strategies and capital markets strategies can all help to build exposure, depending on the objectives and suitability of the investor.

Our approach to investing in a sustainable manner aligns with your worldview while seeking to maintain quality and improve investment outcomes. To identify suitable strategies, we employ an extensive due diligence process. We work with third-party providers and sustainability data specialists to evaluate and classify risks and attributes. This includes seeking to mitigate exposure to “greenwashed” investments - those that are marketed as sustainable but whose actual credentials fall short.

Whether you seek exposure to sustainable themes via unstoppable trends or your entire portfolio, we stand ready to empower your efforts.

1 Banking on 2030: Citi & the Sustainable Development Goals, Citigroup 2022
A greater separation between East and West: G2 polarization intensifies

The technology trade war marks an intensification of US-China polarization. This increases the challenges facing investors, but also creates parallel portfolio diversification potential.

- An intense and strategic technology trade war is underway between the US and China
- The US seeks to protect intellectual capital and onshore production of critical technologies
- China is likely to speed up its efforts to become more technologically self-reliant
- We expect upheaval within global supply chains, with security of supply prioritized over efficiency
- Potential beneficiaries include India, Indonesia, Malaysia and the Philippines but also the likes of Mexico
- We favor carefully diversified global allocations including both US and China allocations and exposure to potential third country beneficiaries
The rivalry between the world’s two leading powers has grown more intense. As China’s economic and geopolitical influence keeps growing, the US is responding vigorously to the challenge. The struggle is playing out in many spheres including trade, finance, military capabilities and influence over other countries – see Accelerating G2 polarization in Mid-Year Outlook 2022.

The latest battleground is technology, with the US restricting China’s access to sophisticated semiconductors and other vital components. We see this as the start of a lasting technology trade war between the US and China, the “G2 powers.” What does this latest decoupling mean for the G2 economies, the wider Asia region and investment portfolios?

**China’s drive for self-reliance**

Even before the latest US technology restrictions, China’s economy was becoming more self-reliant. Its growth has already shifted somewhat from export-led to domestic demand-led. Its foreign trade dependency ratio has fallen from 62.2% in 2006 to 34.2% in 2021, resulting from weak global demand for its exports during the global financial crisis (2008-09) and its economic stimulus – **FIGURE 1**. Nevertheless, this is way above levels for the US and Japan, leaving China further to go.

We now expect China to double down on its drive for self-reliance on trade war fears, technology decoupling and ‘just-in-case’ supply chain risks. Areas of focus will include food, energy, secure supply chains and core technologies, including semiconductors. The government has already invested more than $150bn in the chip sector and we expect more to come as hi-tech competition with the US intensifies.

Despite being the world’s biggest market for semiconductors, China currently meets little of its own needs. In 2015, the government’s Made in China 2025 plan set a self-sufficiency target of 70% by 2025.

**FIGURE 1. CHINA’S DECREASING RELIANCE ON OVERSEAS TRADE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Exports</th>
<th>Imports</th>
<th>Foreign trade dependency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: National Bureau of Statistics of China, Citi GPS, as of Nov 2022. All forecasts are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice and are not intended to be a guarantee of future events. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. They are shown for illustrative purposes only and do not represent the performance of any specific investment. Index returns do not include any expenses, fees or sales charges, which would lower performance. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Real results may vary. Chart shows Chinese exports, imports and its overall dependency on foreign trade expressed as a percentage of its GDP from 1978 to the present.
Admittedly, China has various relative strengths that could enable its ambitions in semiconductors and other technologies in the medium to long term. These include the highest savings ratio of any leading economy, the second-largest capital markets, the second-largest consumer market, and the most engineers and scientists working on applied innovation and scientific research. Government policies have helped various sectors become global leaders, including AI, 5G, quantum computing, clean energy and electric vehicles.

That said, China also faces major obstacles. Among them are limited support to basic research, distorted incentives, online information blockage and weak intellectual property protection. Overall, we see the US restrictions as likely to disrupt near-term tech sector operations in China and hinder its innovation ambitions for many years to come. This will also lead to slower GDP growth in China in the coming decade, making China’s ambition to become the largest economy difficult to achieve if not impossible.

To play to its strengths and offset its weaknesses, China may take certain actions. First, we think markets may play a more important role, even amid government-led industrial policy. Second, innovation policy and funding support from the government should be equally accessible to private and foreign companies. Third, the government should communicate its economic thinking and consider external interests more transparently.

This would better manage domestic private and multinationals’ enterprises alike.

The US chip technology restriction is a double-edged sword. It is not just Chinese chipmakers who stand to suffer. For US chipmakers, the new regulations mean less business near term. They are also withdrawing US personnel from their operations in China and are expected also to redeploy certain equipment away there too, perhaps in the US. Some firms face potential failure as they transition away from serving China – see Deepening digitization.

We expect an escalation of this tech rivalry over time. Indeed, we see it as similar to the Cold War “space race,” where the US and the USSR sought to outdo each other in space exploration. And despite its near-term challenges, China has means to retaliate if it so chooses. For example, the country dominates global mined production and processing of rare earths, a group of materials critical to electric vehicles, wind turbines and energy storage, to name just a few.

Might the G2 standoff evolve into open conflict, particularly over Taiwan? We believe China is unlikely to take the military route unless provoked further by foreign interference or Taiwan unilaterally declaring independence. US legislative changes offering military and other support to Taiwan and next year’s Taiwanese presidential election could both also increase friction.

Amid the sharpening polarization, the US and China will likely make valuable technological advances. We also envisage both powers going further to keep their most sophisticated technologies out of the others’ hands. This could see pressure from each country upon nations within its sphere of influence to pick a side and not to deal with the other insensitive areas, just as the US is doing over sophisticated semiconductors and equipment.

As part of this, we look for the redrawing/regrouping of global supply chains to accelerate. This could see production of a variety of key inputs serving the western markets shift away from China and toward Southeast Asia but also beyond. There is a case for leading Taiwanese and South Korean semiconductors to add to their capacity in Europe but mainly the US, helping to diversify and shore up supply chains.

The upheaval involved should not be underestimated. And bifurcating technology blocs, duplicate supply chains and diminished cooperation are less economically efficient than a globalized system. Many companies and sub-sectors worldwide that have done well from serving China will have to seek business elsewhere because of the technological bifurcation. Restricted markets mean diminished overall opportunities compared to free markets.

1 Citi GPS: Global Perspectives & Solutions, October 2022, CHINA’S INWARD TURN The Pursuit of Economic Self-Reliance
Opportunities for investment amid higher G2 competition

The US-China technology decoupling is at the intersection of the unstoppable trends of digitization and G2 polarization. We expect to find potential investment opportunities on both sides of the competitive divide. Our approach therefore stresses globally diversified exposure, including to key US and Chinese technology producers.

Beyond semiconductors, we envisage an ongoing drive to diversify and reinforce supply chains on both sides, with potential beneficiaries outside both China and the US. These include the trading partners of both G2 powers in Southeast Asia, as well as India and Mexico. Over the coming decade, we expect potential growth in emerging Asia to be led by India and then the likes of Indonesia, Malaysia and the Philippines. While the potential growth in China is set to slow further as its population ages faster, its economic size of over $18trn will still be an important market for others to rely upon.

Of course, ongoing polarization between the G2 powers creates risks for many companies and sectors. For example, business lost in one market is unlikely to be replaced instantly by activity elsewhere. Chipmakers unable to sell certain products to China are currently learning this the hard way. We believe that this favors a selective approach over broad-based passive exposure.

A less globalized, more polarized world presents challenges for investors. But weaker economic ties may also mean less correlated assets. Over time, this may mean potential diversification opportunities for portfolios.
Energy security is vital

Fossil fuel energy dependence is not only fueling climate change but also threatens the economy and national security. We believe this strengthens the case for the transition to clean energy and for positioning portfolios accordingly.

- The global energy crisis is causing inflation and lost output, especially in Europe, and deepening deprivation in some of the world’s poorest countries.
- Dependence on fossil fuel can also compromise energy importers’ national security priorities.
- We favor long-term investments in a variety of energy-related technologies.
- Nevertheless, we recognize that natural gas has a continued role for now and related investments may see further near-term upside.
The world is in the grip of an energy crisis. Consumers and businesses across multiple regions are already suffering heavier costs for electricity and gas - FIGURE 1. The epicenter of the crisis is in Europe, where Russia’s near-halting of its natural gas exports has sent prices dramatically higher. Among the consequences are lower economic growth, higher inflation and greater human deprivation. What does this mean for the still early-stage transition from fossil fuels to clean energy sources?

On one level, it might appear as if the energy crisis is unhelpful to the transition. After all, public and government are focused on immediate imperatives such as keeping businesses powered and homes heated. This has seen a resurgence in fossil fuel usage, including of coal, the dirtiest of all. The crisis has also emboldened some - including certain fossil fuel executives and skeptical populist politicians - to claim that the transition will need to happen much more slowly than previously envisaged.

The case for an accelerated transition

At Citi Global Wealth Investments, we take the opposite view. Today’s difficulties call not for slowing but for accelerating the transition toward renewable energy sources such as solar and wind. Indeed, we believe that failing to do so would create even greater risks.
Above all, the objective is to limit greenhouse gas emissions to avert a climate catastrophe. In 2022, summer heat records in multiple regions, Arctic wildfires and disastrous floods in Nigeria and Pakistan were the latest reminders of the intensifying threat to people and planet. However, it has become even clearer that other forms of our security also depend on the transition.

### Fossil fuel reliance, economic vulnerability

The economic damage of Europe’s reliance on Russian natural gas is mounting. With energy prices more than 40% higher than a year earlier, inflation in the eurozone hit an all-time high of almost 11% in October 2022. Amid the uncertainty, households and businesses are retrenching.¹

Both the eurozone and the UK look set to suffer recession, despite government initiatives to subsidize energy bills amounting to many hundreds of billions of euros – see “Europe: Bracing for winter recession”. Globally, the cost of such initiatives has already breached $500bn.² Depreivation is nonetheless on the increase.

Seeking high prices for their output, liquid natural gas suppliers have diverted cargoes away from other destinations and toward Europe. Shortages in certain developing countries are already a reality.

As a result, the number of people with access to electricity worldwide has seen a decline for the first time ever. The longer the crisis persists, the greater the risk of social unrest and political instability, as in 2011 when soaring food prices stoked the “Arab spring” uprisings and revolutions.

Fossil fuel dependence can threaten national security as well as economic well-being. Russia’s attempt to use its gas supplies as leverage has at times complicated Europe’s response to the war in Ukraine.

Over time, some fossil fuel-importing nations have found themselves having to adjust their foreign policy in ways they would rather not have. By striking compromises with authoritarian regimes or engaging in overseas military ventures, for example, they have suffered reputational consequences on the world stage.

Shifting toward renewable energy can help mitigate such issues. By generating cost-efficient, clean energy locally, countries can strengthen their economic resilience and their national security.

This is not to say that renewable energy is fail-safe, however.

At times, for example, the UK’s still-growing windfarm network can already supply over half of the nation’s electricity needs. But unusually calm and cloudy conditions in 2021 saw a dip in its renewables’ consumption, with the shortfall made up by coal burning. For now, greater energy security thus means having diverse sources of supply, of which more comes from renewables.

We believe that diversity of supply will be essential to longer term energy security too. Today, much of that diversity comes from fossil fuels. However, this must change radically if the world is to have a chance of limiting climate change.

Massively increasing renewables’ share within power production is clearly critical to the drive toward energy security. And yet it is still only part of what is needed. Electrification and improving energy efficiency are also essential.

Switching from fossil fuel burning to electricity from renewable sources in heating and cooling buildings, transport and industry has great potential to lower emissions. The same goes for increased efficiency – using less energy to do more. The less energy intensive we become, the more our energy security increases.

As in in the 1970s oil shocks, the drive for efficiency is increasing because of the current crisis. In Germany, for example, households are rapidly replacing gas heating with heat pumps. These electrical devices – which take in air from outside a building and raise its temperature to heat the building inside – are already 300% efficient. This means it takes one-third of the energy to heat a home compared to one with a

¹ Bloomberg, as of 4 Nov 2022
² IEA (2022), World Energy Outlook 2022, IEA, Paris [https://www.iea.org/reports/world-energy-outlook-2022, License: CC BY 4.0 (report); CC BY NC SA 4.0 (Annex A), as of Oct 2022]
completely efficient combustion-based heater. Experimental designs may boost this to one fifth before long.

The potential here is substantial. A complete transition to next-generation heat pumps would reduce total energy use 40%, all else equal. Admittedly, this would take a very long time, as it would require replacing heating systems in every building globally. However, with governments subsidizing adoption of these devices, uptake could increase rapidly.

Despite such encouraging progress, there is a very long way to go indeed in the quest for cleaner and more secure energy. Over the next thirty years, $125 trillion may be required to achieve net zero emissions. To get on track, Citi GPS estimates that $2.6 trillion a year annually needs to be mobilized between 2021 and 2025, $1.7 trillion a year more than of late - FIGURE 2.

Aside from the amount of capital required, there are many risks along the way. Trade wars and other supply chain disruptions, technological disappointments and policy reversals driven by populist skeptics represent just some of the potential challenges. But if these or other factors were to frustrate the transition, the resulting insecurities would be far worse than anything we have so far seen.

---

According to the IEA World Energy Outlook 2022, heat accounted for 50% of global final energy consumption in 2018, and 40% of global carbon dioxide emissions.
Since 2021, the publicly traded green infrastructure sector has sold off, underperforming infrastructure investments more generally - **FIGURE 3**. However, this does not reflect any change in the fundamental case for the clean energy transition. Instead, we think it reflects the high valuations reached in the sharp rally in 2020 and the subsequent rise in interest rates that have hurt all growth-oriented assets. The selloff may present a potential long-term entry point. But we cannot rule out further downside, especially if we are wrong about a peak in interest rates in 2023.

Accelerating the transition will involve a wide variety of companies. These include specialists in renewable energy technology, energy storage, electric vehicles and heat pumps, sustainable materials and carbon capture. In aggregate, we believe such companies are likely to be significant winners over the medium term and bear much less long-term risk than fossil fuel assets. In the meantime, we recognize that liquid natural gas can serve as a transition fuel. New and existing supplies of this fossil fuel will be key to helping meet European energy needs - representing another kind of opportunity for investors.

In the long term, clean energy can be more secure energy. We believe that related technologies can help generate portfolio returns as well as sustainable power.
Deepening digitization

The unstoppable trend of digitization is still in force and has far to go. We believe current equity weakness may offer potential for building long-term exposure.

- Digitization assets suffered sharp drawdowns in 2022 owing to rising rates and previously high valuations.
- The transformative potential of digitization has not changed, however, and we see related investments as core long-term holdings.
- We highlight semiconductors, robotics and automation and the metaverse, while reiterating our conviction in areas such as fintech and cybersecurity.
Investing in digitization was tough in 2022. Equities relating to the likes of fintech, cloud computing and semiconductors experienced sharp falls, alongside many other growth-oriented assets. This marked a sharp reversal from the lockdown period in 2020. During that time, such investments soared as businesses and consumers relied more than ever on digital technologies in response to tight restrictions on daily life.

Despite recent performance, though, the digital revolution has not gone into reverse. Indeed, these technologies are becoming ever more deeply embedded in how we live and work. In the years ahead, we expect intensifying innovation driven by well-funded research and development. And we believe that businesses will have to either embrace new technologies and processes or face extinction. Put simply, the unstoppable trend of digitization remains in full force.

Given our view, we do not see the selloff in digitization assets in 2022 as reflecting the sector’s prospects. Instead, it resulted from aggressive interest rate hikes and the valuation frothiness that had previously accumulated. Following the steep drop in valuations, we believe there may be opportunities to build long-term portfolio exposure to this transformative theme. While we retain our conviction across digitization broadly, we highlight three areas for consideration.

**Semiconductors are powering digitization**

The little chips that store and process data are all around us, enabling every digital task however trivial or sophisticated. Indeed, there is no aspect of the unstoppable trend of digitization - from cybersecurity to robotics to artificial intelligence to the metaverse - that does not depend heavily on this technology. And we envisage the role of semiconductors only increasing further over the coming years.

The continued rollout of fifth-generation - or “5G” - data networks and thereafter 6G will see billions of devices connected to the internet for the first time ever and a vast increase in data produced - see 5G and beyond: Connection to the future in Outlook 2022.

The upsurge in connectivity that we expect will require semiconductors to be incorporated into everything from mundane household objects to the smart cities and autonomous vehicles of...
tomorrow. The vast “cloud” storage facilities where the newly created data will be held are also chip intensive.

This potential growth is not without risks, of course. The US’ moves to block Chinese access to the most sophisticated chips and related equipment also means less business for US and other manufacturers for the foreseeable future – see A greater separation between East and West: G2 polarization intensifies. Tough competition and sharp cyclical swings have long impacted the industry. But given our outlook for chip demand, we believe equity price weakness may represent a way to build long-term portfolio exposure.

The age of automation

Slow productivity growth and labor shortages are intensifying challenges for many countries, especially in the developed world. From a pre-global financial crisis peak of in 2007, productivity growth worldwide has slowed. Meanwhile, aging populations are contributing significantly to a dearth of workers. By 2030, there could be a global deficit of some 85.2 million workers globally, causing a shortfall in output of $8.5 trillion, according to a report by Korn Ferry, a global organizational consulting firm.¹

Given the threat to growth and living standards, we believe it essential that the world accelerates the adoption of robots and automation. The pandemic lockdowns reinforced the vulnerabilities that arise from overdependence on human labor in everything from logistics to advanced healthcare delivery. It also led to a surge in industrial robot installations, especially in Asia. In 2023, industrial robot installations are expected to grow by 10% to almost 570,000 units.²

Nevertheless, we think that much more is needed. Currently, just five countries account for 78% of global installations: China, Japan, the US, South Korea and Germany. Assuming continued gains in innovation, we expect the robots of tomorrow to be able to undertake many more tasks than they already do, either independently or alongside human workers. As such, the global market size for industrial robots could slightly more than double from $92.8bn to $165.3bn by 2028.

Aside from labor force shrinkage and upward pressure on wages, the trend toward onshoring manufacturing activity argues for more automation. The US and other nations are keen to secure their supply chains for certain vital products. Using robots could ultimately mitigate the costs of relocating production facilities from low-wage to high-wage countries. Further progress in robot capabilities will also make adoption more attractive.

Among the potential investments we see in this area are in the creators of robotic and automated processes, suppliers of components such as chips and sensors, and software makers. And there may be an even wider range of companies that could achieve productivity gains by integrating robotics into their business.

The internet’s new dawn

The worldwide web has become an ever-more ubiquitous feature of daily life since it first went mainstream in the mid-1990s. Of course, today’s internet experience is much slicker than in those early days of dial-up connections, basic webpages and little functionality beyond browsing information. Now, though, cyberspace may be on the cusp of a much greater leap forward.

Widely considered the next generation of the internet, the metaverse is an immersive 3D world that brings together physical and digital realities. Within this world, avatars of ourselves will interact with one another and businesses in a landscape that draws strongly from the physical world but with many hi-tech enhancements.

While still in its infancy, the metaverse or “Web 3.0” may in time prove transformative for consumers, technology companies and investors. By 2030, Citi Research estimates that the metaverse economy may be worth between $7.7 trillion and $12.8 trillion.³

---

¹ Future of Work: The Global Talent Crunch – Korn Ferry, as of Oct 2022
² Executive Summary World Robotics 2022 Industrial Robots - International Federation of Robotics
³ Citi GPS report: Metaverse and Money: Decrypting the Future, June 2022
this environment, we see a broad range of companies that may be able to capture some of that value.

For now, we see limited ways to gain exposure to the rise of the metaverse. Telecom operators and equipment vendors may be best placed initially, given the greater data usage that is required to support this virtual world. Looking out somewhat further, makers of hardware components that enable the metaverse experience – such as optics/sensors, displays and semiconductors – are also placed to benefit.

While we see attractions to investing in hardware which will serve as building blocks to future virtual worlds, investability within the metaverse is much more challenging at present. Admittedly, there are competing visions of how the metaverse may evolve. Large incumbents today – such as leading social media platforms – are keen to retain their dominance in tomorrow’s world and are investing heavily accordingly. But others favor a decentralized model where users have greater power over their data.

**FIGURE 2. THE RISE OF THE ROBOTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>$bn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2026</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2028</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Statista and Citi Global Insights, as of 28 Oct 2022. All forecasts are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice, and are not intended to be a guarantee of future events.

Chart shows the estimated size in billions of dollars of the global industrial robotics market, with forecasts out to 2028.

**WHAT TO DO NOW?**

We reiterate our long-term conviction in the transformative potential of digitization. And we favor long-term exposure to this unstoppable trend in portfolios. Despite the sharp selloff in related assets to date, however, further near-term downside cannot be ruled out. That said, we believe a peak in interest rates to be likely in 2023 and that rate cuts should follow thereafter. If so, this would enable investors to focus more on digitization’s long-term prospects.

We see a variety of possibilities for building exposure to semiconductors, robotics and automation and the metaverse, as well as in other areas such as fintech, cybersecurity and artificial intelligence. While broad-based passive exposure is one option, we favor equity strategies from specialist managers, as well as private market strategies for suitable investors – see Digitization and the growth in alternative investments.

Digitization has far to go. Get ready for the next stage of the revolution.
Digitization and the growth in alternative investments

- Falling tech valuations in public markets have also begun to hit many private companies needing equity capital in the second half of 2022.
- With tech firms still needing to raise equity and debt capital, we see opportunities for alternative managers to make potentially attractive add-on investments.
- Once rates peak and then reverse, investors are likely to refocus on digitization’s long-term potential once more as public valuations recover.
- For suitable investors, we favor technology-focused strategies from venture capital, growth, buyout and private debt managers.
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The increasing role of alternatives in digitization

Innovation is the beating heart of the digital revolution. But developing new ideas and establishing a viable business around them requires significant amounts of capital over fairly long periods. The selloff in publicly traded technology equities in 2022 has made raising capital for private firms harder. At the same time, we believe these difficulties may create potential opportunities for the managers of various alternative strategies.

Venture capital, growth and buyout managers make up an important ecosystem for financing digital innovation. Venture capital managers incubate companies from initial idea and product development through all their expansion stages, typically called early-stage to late-stage venture capital. Growth managers select from some of the most successful private technology companies and support significant scale expansion. Buyout managers acquire more established businesses, often taking them from public to private ownership.

Valuations decline but deals hold up

The selloff in publicly traded technology equities has driven valuations substantially lower – see Deepening digitization. Market volatility and falling valuations pose challenges for VC-backed tech firms seeking to sell their shares to the public via an initial public offering (IPO). As a result, there have been only 60 such public listings in 2022, compared to 303 VC-backed IPOs in 2021. The IPO route is likely to remain largely closed to tech companies until markets stabilize.

For US VC-backed companies that previously went public within the past two years, the valuation declines are especially pronounced. Such firms’ price-to-sales multiples – a metric expressing a company’s market capitalization in relation to its revenues – fell some 60% to 67% through 17 August 2022 – FIGURE 1.

FIGURE 1. POST–IPO BLUES FOR VC–BACKED COMPANIES

Source: Pitchbook/Morningstar Quantitative Perspectives, as of Q3 2022
Chart shows price-to-sales multiples of the constituents of the Pitchbook/Morningstar VC-backed IPO index in the third quarter of 2022 versus one year earlier. Index constituents are VC-backed companies >$50 million that have completed a public offering within the prior 2 years. The companies are grouped by percentile according to their starting price-to-sales multiple, starting with the most expensive on the left.
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1 Q3 2022 Pitchbook-NVCA Venture Monitor
2 Pitchbook Q3 2022 Quantitative Perspectives – Silver Linings on the Time Horizon
This public market weakness has seeped through to late-stage VC companies. The median pre-money valuation — or valuation just prior to an IPO or funding round from private investors — was 9% below 2021’s level at $91m, as of the third quarter 2022.³

For seed and early-stage VC companies — those at an earlier stage of development — valuations have held up better. Deals involving such firms — i.e., when they sell ownership stakes to venture capital firms — are not heavily based on valuation multiples. Instead, they focus more on factors such as the size of the market they’re involved in, whether their product fills a gap in the market, their market leadership potential and growth rate.

That said, even seed and early-stage VC valuations will likely decline over the coming year, as valuations in late-stage private markets and public markets reset. Nevertheless, technology deal activity has remained robust in 2022. Although down 10% from 2021’s elevated levels, it still stands significantly above any previous year. And while overall VC deal activity has fallen for three straight quarters from those 2021 highs, VC activity has already exceeded all prior years except for 2021 — FIGURE 2.

**FIGURE 2. US VENTURE CAPITAL DEALS FALL FROM THEIR HIGHS**

Source: Pitchbook, as of 30 Sep 2022.

Chart shows US venture capital deal activity by quarter since 2015, with the green bars denoting total deal value and the lines showing deal counts across angel & seed, early-stage VC and late-stage VC categories.

³ Source: Pitchbook/NVCA, as of 30 Sep 2022
With valuations coming down, we expect to see an increase in buyout managers taking listed companies private. IT deal value accounted for 31% of PE deal activity in the third quarter of 2022, near the highest level ever. Technology is now a core focus for many buyout managers, given the sector’s growth prospects and today’s lower valuations.

With the IPO market effectively shut off for now, late-stage, growth and pre-IPO companies will need to access capital from private sources, including private lenders. Private equity’s appetite for IT acquisitions did not significantly decline in the first three quarters of 2022 compared to the same period in 2021. Capital still flowed into the sector, with 1,239 deals closed globally during 2022 as of the third quarter, with an aggregate deal value of $128.9 billion.

However, leveraged loan and high-yield issuances are near the lowest levels since the global financial crisis at a time when rates and spreads have increased meaningfully, allowing alternative private credit providers to step in and provide financing to select deals – see Alternative investments may enhance cash yields.

WHAT TO DO NOW?

Given the decline in tech valuations and our positive long-term outlook for digitization, we see a case for investing in such companies via early- and late-stage venture capital managers as well as growth and buyout managers. We also favor lending to late-stage, growth and pre-IPO companies via private credit managers. Once interest rates peak, we believe investors will focus more on digitization's growth prospects again. As valuations recover over time, private managers will have scope to sell their stakes at higher prices.

While we believe a counter-cyclical approach of buying when others are fearful makes sense, these strategies come with risks, beyond that of rising interest rates undermining valuations. As private market strategies, they are illiquid, requiring investors to commit for a period of several years. For example, private technology investing typically involves investing in companies that are generating negative free cash flows and can require additional capital to fund growth.

Many suitable clients' portfolios do not have much exposure to private equity as an asset class. Indeed, many lack any exposure at all. We also note many of the same clients may be underinvested in digitization. Such clients should consider their current portfolio positioning and how they might add the potential for further return and diversification.

---

4, 5 Source: Preqin, as of 30 Sep 2022
6 S&P LCD Quarterly Review, Q3 2022
How unstoppable trends are redefining real estate

Amid macroeconomic difficulties, long-term forces are continuing to create potential opportunities within real estate.

- Real estate came under pressure in 2022 from inflation and higher interest rates.
- Unstopable trends such as digitization are helping to drive certain areas within real estate.
- We also see some areas of real estate as better placed to cope with inflation.
- We favor strategies from specialist managers focusing on multifamily homes, e-commerce-related properties and quality offices in select locations.
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Almost no asset class escaped the turmoil of 2022. That includes real estate, where pressures included increased financing costs, rising capitalization rates and inflation. Looking ahead, there is potential opportunity to exploit market inefficiencies, invest in assets at potentially cheaper levels and reposition properties to meet shifting consumer demand. Also, long-term trends such as digitization, more flexible working and delayed household formation are continuing to transform real estate.

Inflation poses a particular challenge. The cost of renovating and developing has risen sharply since the start of the pandemic. And the consequent rise in interest rates has made it more expensive to finance real estate projects. All this can potentially reduce returns for investors. That said, continued rent growth in certain sub-sectors like multifamily apartments and e-commerce-related industrial properties are mitigating headwinds.

We thus look to sub-sectors of real estate that we think may be best placed to perform under these conditions.

Resilient multifamily homes

Getting on the housing ladder is challenging right now. The US mortgage rate sat near a 20-year high of 7.14% as of 9 November 2022. To buy a property, the minimum annual income needed was over $120,000 as of June 2022. That is more than double the level six years earlier. Compared to previous generations, millennials – those born from the early 1980s to around 2000 – are likely to end up renting for longer before buying their first homes. That said, renting is not especially easy now either. Rents too have risen sharply since 2021.

However, they are still broadly cheaper than getting a new mortgage. On average, a typical monthly mortgage payment was $904 more expensive than a typical monthly apartment rental payment as of Q3 2022. Four years ago, a mortgage cost only $387 more than renting - FIGURE 1.

**FIGURE 1. MORTGAGE PAYMENTS GO THROUGH THE ROOF**

MORTGAGES SUBSTANTIALLY OUTPACE RENTS

Source: Marcus & Millichap, as of September 2022.
Chart shows average mortgage payments and rental payments on an apartment from 2015 through September 2022.1

---

2. Marcus & Millichap, September 2022
Multifamily rental properties – such as low-rise “garden style”, mid-to-high-rise apartment towers and townhouse complexes – have proven resilient during periods of high inflation. That is because their rents may reset every time their short-duration leases – typically one year – expire. In 2022, multifamily rents have risen significantly alongside inflation. The average effective rental rate for multifamily rental properties in the US had risen almost 17% year on year as of the second quarter of 2022.¹

Supply considerations also favor both developers and landlords. According to the National Apartment Association, the US will need 4.3 million new apartment units in the next twelve years to meet increasing housing demand.⁵ The insufficient supply of housing, coupled with less attainable home ownership and inflation limiting new build, is expected to continue to sustain robust rental demand.

FIGURE 2. ROBUST DEMAND FOR INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES

INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY & DEMAND

Source: Crow Holdings, as of Oct 2022.
Chart shows completions of new industrial properties in millions of square feet, the absorption rate – a metric which looks at how much space was occupied versus how much became available – and the availability rate or how much space was available.

¹ Marcus & Millichap, as of August 2022
⁵ National Multifamily Housing Council & National Apartment Association (US apartment demand through 2035)
Identifying markets with favorable supply and demand fundamentals and strong demographic tailwinds will be critical, as increased financing costs may impact the profitability for both new developments and existing assets with near-term debt maturities.

**Enabling the e-commerce revolution**

E-commerce took a great leap forward during the pandemic. With restrictions on in-person shopping, consumers pivoted to ordering more goods online. These habits have stuck: US e-commerce sales grew 32% year-on-year in 2020, 14% in 2021 and 9% between the first and second quarters of 2022. And they are forecast to grow at 10% to 15% annually after the pandemic, gaining more market share from brick-and-mortar stores. The same story applies globally, as e-commerce sales have increased 133% over the past five years, and 46% in the first two pandemic years of 2020 and ’21.

While e-commerce may reduce the need for retail floor space, online transactions require three times the warehouse space of traditional retail. Each 1% increase in e-commerce sales as a proportion of overall retail sales is expected to result in over 65 million square feet of demand for industrial space. E-commerce demand has particularly increased the need for larger, more sophisticated and centrally located distribution centers to enhance “last-mile” facilities for same-day or next-day delivery. This will continue to be the case in 2023.

With demand outpacing supply, overall US vacancy rates are historically low at below 4%. The US industrial real estate sector which includes storage and distribution as well as manufacturing, production and research & development facilities – remains strong and stable.

Industrial properties’ overall availability rate dropped by 60bps in 2022 in the US compared to mid-year 2021 due to robust demand and a large amount of preleased construction completions – FIGURE 2. Year-on-year rent growth surpassed 21%. As much as 2.1 billion square feet (0.64 billion square meters) of additional e-commerce-dedicated logistics space will be required globally over the next five years to support the growth of internet sales.

Like multifamily, the industrial sector has held up well amid inflation. Rent growth within logistics has outpaced inflation due to strong tenant demand and to the shorter lease terms - typically 3-5 years - which has allowed rental rates to keep pace as the current market rate adjusts.

Despite higher interest rates, US commercial capitalization rates continued to edge down across industrial property types in the second quarter of 2022, hovering around 5.1%. Capitalization rates are measured as a property’s net operating income, expressed as a percentage of the property’s value. In 2023, industrials’ capitalization rates may rise, but its stability to date speaks for the sector’s resilience.

The industrial market is not immune to increased financing costs nor to slowing growth. But while the outsized e-commerce growth over the last two years may moderate, the long-term outlook for the industrial sector remains positive.
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6 US Department of Commerce, as of Q2 2022
7 CBRE Global E-commerce Outlook, as of Q2 2022
8 CBRE Global E-commerce Outlook 2022 Update, June 2022
9 Prologis, as of Q3 2020
10 United States Industrial Outlook, Q2 2022, JLL Research
12 Crow Holdings, as of Oct 2022
13 United States Industrial Outlook, Q2 2022, JLL Research
The workplace of the future

Since the pandemic struck, the way we work has changed drastically. Many employers and their employees have enthusiastically embraced flexible, digitization-enhanced working practices, with some firms going wholly remote and many more choosing a hybrid model. The new patterns of work – and changing priorities – are creating strong demand for certain types of offices.

Overall, there is a marked preference for quality. This means offices in highly connected locations, complete with market-leading amenities, including outdoor space and fitness centers, and good sustainability credentials such as LEED platinum, a green certification standard. Meanwhile, older, outdated premises that do not accommodate new ways of working are struggling.

For example, since the start of the pandemic, 84% of total leasing activity in midtown Manhattan has occurred in Class-A assets.16 Over the last two years, new Class-A offices in the US are the only office vintage with positive absorption, a metric which looks at how much space was occupied and how much was vacated. Whereas such offices saw 61.6 million square feet (18.8 million m²) net absorption, those built in 2014 or before suffered negative absorption.17

This appetite for quality is evident in office markets around the world, including Sydney, London, Seoul, Dubai, Shanghai and Berlin. In the US, the leasing of new-vintage offices is unfolding alongside ongoing migration to secondary markets. Companies and workers are increasingly attracted to places such as Austin, Texas, Raleigh, North Carolina and Phoenix, Arizona. Such places offer favorable employment prospects, a potentially better quality of life and lower costs of living.

WHAT TO DO NOW?

We believe suitable investors should consider adding appropriate exposure to select multifamily, industrial and office real estate to their portfolios. To do so, we favor strategies from specialist managers with deep expertise in these segments in particular geographies. Such strategies may help mitigate the effects of inflation upon returns while helping to mitigate the risks of a globally diversified allocation.

Of course, private investments in real estate come with various risks. These include illiquidity, with investors typically having to make a commitment for some years. A deeper economic contraction than we expect might also moderate rent growth and demand in the near term.

---

16 Cushman & Wakefield, Q4 2021 Office Overview, January 2022
17 JLL, “The Workplace Evolution,” June 2022
Seeking to boost portfolio immunity with healthcare

Aging populations and the expanding global middle class are likely to boost demand for healthcare over many years.

- With increasing age and wealth comes greater demand for healthcare
- We believe rising spending on research and development globally will drive the sector’s innovation
- Among the areas we favor are biologics, life science tools, value-based care and agtech
The world’s population is undergoing profound change

Not only are there more people on Earth than at any time previously, but their average age is now older than ever before. This pattern is set to intensify. By 2050, more than a quarter of citizens in certain global regions may be over 65 - FIGURE 1. This change is the result of life expectancy and fertility patterns established over many generations, which would take at least as long to reverse. At Citi Global Wealth Investments, we thus regard aging populations as an unstoppable trend.

At the same time, the world’s middle class is on the rise. This phenomenon is largely driven by Asia, where economic development and rising incomes are enabling hundreds of millions of people to live and consume in ways they never have before. In mid-2017, the emerging world’s middle class was around 3.3 billion people – a number that may hit 5 billion by 2027.1

These two major shifts have far-reaching implications for societies, industries and investors everywhere.

Among the consequences we expect is growing demand for healthcare. As people get older, the amount of spending required on their healthcare increases. And with rising incomes and wealth comes the tendency to spend more on staying well and getting better from illnesses.


Chart shows the rising percentage of the population aged over 65 globally, in Europe & North America and East & Southeast Asia in 2022, 2030 and 2050.

1 Haver, as of 27 Oct 2022
We see healthcare as well placed to meet the challenge. The sector has a strong record of innovation, driven by increasing spending on research and development, a trend that seems likely to continue - FIGURE 2. While we see growth potential for healthcare broadly, there are certain areas of particular focus for us.

**Biologics and bioproduction**

With advancing years comes greater incidence of many illnesses. These include various forms of cancer, Alzheimer’s disease, rheumatoid arthritis, osteoporosis and diabetes. Some of the most novel treatments for these conditions are biologics.

Biologics are complex drugs made from parts of or complete living cells from humans, animals or microorganisms. This “bioproduction” process differs from traditional “small molecule” drug development, where drugs are synthesized via chemical processes without living cells. Biologics have proven superior to many small molecule drugs in addressing many difficult-to-treat illnesses. And they may hold the key to treating or curing diseases that are today untreatable.

Developing biologics is now a major focus for biopharmaceutical companies. Around 60% of all drugs in development in 2022 may be biologics, up from 20% two decades ago, according to Danaher Corporation. While just 500 such products have been approved by US regulators to date, there are some 20,000 in the pipeline.2

From an investment perspective, we find the potential growth compelling. Evaluate Pharma, an industry intelligence provider, forecasts that new biological drugs – excluding COVID-related therapies – will represent $541 billion in sales by 2026, an annualized growth rate of 10% from current levels. Risks faced include clinical development failures, delays and stiff regulatory hurdles.

**Life science tools**

Developing cutting-edge treatments such as biologics is intensely demanding. So much so that biopharmaceutical companies often outsource parts of the long and intricate process. They do so to take advantage of specialist skills at certain stages and to seek manufacturing efficiencies. This trend is on the rise.

Some 86.9% of qualified bioprocessing respondents in the 19th annual industry survey conducted by BioPlan Associates, a biotechnology market and information provider, indicated they planned to outsource at least

---

2 Source: Danaher Analyst Day - Cytiva HQs, as of Sep 2022
“some” bioprocessing activities over the next 24 months. This is up from 82.6% the year before.³

Around a third of the most frequently outsourced steps are entrusted to life science tools (LST) companies. LST companies create and deploy instruments and tests that empower the research and development process. The LST business model can be compared to that of suppliers of picks and shovels to miners during 19th century extractive booms. Irrespective of whether the miners struck gold, the hardware suppliers made money from selling them hardware.

The global LST market was estimated at around $92.2 billion in 2020. This may increase at a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 11.9% between 2021 to 2028, according to Grand View Research.⁴ Some of the key drivers could be from creating or adopting new solutions for analyzing and separating chemicals as well as genetic and other sequencing.

Risks to this growth potential include slowing demand from biopharma companies, softer academic demand due to reduced government funding, and an inability to execute on mergers & acquisitions integration.

Value-based care

The way that patients receive healthcare is changing. Traditionally, physicians and other healthcare providers have been paid according to how many services they provide to patients. This creates an obvious incentive for as many treatments to be supplied as possible. An increasingly popular alternative to this “fee-for-service” approach is “value-based care,” where providers get paid according to patient outcomes. The emphasis here is on results, including disease prevention and promoting wellness.

Over time, we believe that companies that seek to enhance patient experience and improve health outcomes are likelier to gain market share. This may be especially true in the US, the world’s largest market. US healthcare spending per head is greater than in any other country while patient satisfaction with their experience can sometimes be found wanting.

Technology could play a leading role in driving advances in value-based care. Capturing and analyzing vast amounts of patient data could make preventative measures and treatments increasingly personalized. Given their expertise in artificial intelligence, big technology companies may enter the healthcare industry and act as disruptors.

Risks to the leading incumbent providers of value-based care include competition from new entrants outside the healthcare space and potential government regulation, which could restrict flexibility and innovation.

Agetech

Caring for rapidly growing elderly populations – both in sickness and health – is an enormous challenge. Already, certain countries are feeling the pressure of shrinking workforces combined with large numbers of people requiring monitoring, companionship and help with daily functions. We believe that agetech – hardware and software that address old age challenges – may ultimately have an important role to play in addressing this situation.

Wearable devices – such as smartwatches – are already widely used to help people track their wellness. Increasingly, they may be used to monitor the health and well-being of seniors, giving early warnings of heart attacks and strokes and alerting emergency services. And by monitoring for falls, they could also help support those living independently. Likewise, robots may be able to provide vital companionship and stimulation, both for those living in their own homes and in retirement homes.

Agetech is closely related to several aspects of our unstoppable trend of digitization, including robotics, automation and artificial intelligence. Given the amount of data captured and stored – and the often personal nature of it – cyber security presents one risk to many related companies.

---
⁴ Source: Grand View Research, as of Sep 2022. Science Tools Market Size, Share & Trends Analysis Report By Technology (Cell Biology, Genomics), By Product (Flow Cytometry, Mass Spectrometry), By End-use, By Region, And Segment Forecasts, 2021 - 2028
We believe that demand for healthcare will likely grow faster than the economy over time. And we see a compelling case for portfolio exposure to this source of long-term growth. Healthcare is the least cyclical of all economic sectors: the least tied to economic performance. Major pharmaceuticals firms have routinely raised their dividends through turbulent times.

Amid 2022’s difficult conditions, for example, large-cap pharmaceuticals showed comparative resilience, falling by less than broad market indices. They may continue to perform this role in 2023, should volatility persist. By contrast, life sciences and small-cap indices underperformed – FIGURE 3 – but may potentially perform strongly once the Fed ceases raising and then starts cutting interest rates. Further selloffs in the meantime may present us with opportunities to build longer term positions in innovative segments such as life sciences, medical technology and biotech.

We see many possibilities for gaining exposure to this vital industry for the years ahead, including strategies from specialist managers and capital markets strategies for suitable investors. With the unstoppable trends of aging and the rise of Asia’s middle class continuing, the prognosis for healthcare looks positive. Is your portfolio taking the prescription?

Source: Haver, as of 14 Oct 2022.
Chart shows the performance since 2010 of the Russell 3000 Pharmaceutical and Biotech sub-indices, noting the former’s outperformance of the latter in 2022. Past performance does not guarantee future results. Investors cannot invest in an index. All forecasts are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice and are not intended to be a guarantee of future events.
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Asia: Broader re-opening to enable regional recovery

Asia is likely to face pressure from potential US recession, but it is also likely to see some lift from China’s recovery.

- Regional prospects for 2023 look mixed, with potential US recession weighing on sentiment, while China’s potential re-opening could support regional growth
- In equities, we seek exposure to recovery in China and Hong Kong, with initial focus on re-opening beneficiaries, followed by industries that have policy support
- In fixed income, we favor higher rated financials, energy, materials and tech/telecom
- The weakest currencies of 2022 may gain most in 2023, including the Japanese yen, Australian dollar and Chinese yuan
Even as global markets struggled in 2022, many parts of Asia experienced a boom. As the US potentially enters a recession in 2023, broader re-opening in Asia could increase resilience and create opportunities.

Asia saw north-south divergence in economic and market performance in 2022. Thailand enjoyed a strong revival of tourism that is likely to accelerate. India saw a notable investment boom and capital inflows. Indonesia and Malaysia rode the commodities boom. North Asia, meanwhile, was generally slower in re-opening, which weighed most on Hong Kong and mainland China. Geopolitical escalation weighed on Taiwan, while Korea was also hit by the tech bear market, especially in semiconductors.

In 2023, Asia is likely to see broader re-opening, including China. Recent medical developments and changes in government messaging suggest substantial easing in zero-COVID policy lies ahead, perhaps in spring 2023, after the winter wave of infections.

China’s reopening could have positive effects beyond its borders. Reviving demand may boost Chinese imports from the wider region, offsetting some of the impact from the European and US downturns. Potential resumption of outbound Chinese tourism can help to extend recovery in markets like Thailand, where tourism recovered to 50% of 2019’s levels without Chinese travel resuming.

Regional external resilience remains robust. Asian markets have seen their FX reserves fall in 2022, while their import bills rose and their currencies weakened. But reserves can still amply cover current account and short-term debt by more than one year. This curbs Fed tightening’s spillover effect on Asia.

Together, we believe Asia could avoid recession in 2023, even considering potential external weakness. We expect emerging market (EM) Asian real GDP growth to reach 5.0% in 2023 after dipping to just below 4.0% in 2022. The key turnaround is China where growth is likely to rebound from 3.5% to 4.5%. Hong Kong’s economy may reverse from 2.6% contraction to 2.8% growth. Among developed Asia, Japan is likely to be the most resilient, holding above-trend growth at 1.6%, which contributes to our preference for this market. Most other economies are likely to see moderate deceleration.

Equities

Our favored markets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SECTORS</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Financials</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer disc</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>-12.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecom</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: 1 - FactSet consensus estimates, as of 25 Nov 2022; 2 - Bloomberg, as of 23 Nov 2022. Past performance is no guarantee of future returns. Real results may vary. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. All forecasts are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice and are not intended to be a guarantee of future events.

Amid deeply negative sentiment toward global equities, Indonesia was the only Asian market to produce positive returns in US dollar terms in 2022, up 2%. India (down 6% in US dollar terms) and Thailand (down 5%) managed positive local currency returns. China (down 32%), Taiwan (down 30%) and Korea (down 28%) suffered falling local equities and currencies. Earnings results for the year seem to corroborate the equity returns, with Indonesia, Thailand and India leading, while China and Korea lagged.

Performance in 2023 will depend much on the timing of US and China’s cycles. Markets that are most insulated from potential external economic weakness may do best. China may do better after two dire years of negative earnings and equity performance. Even though China’s longer term outlook seems uncertain – see A greater separation between East and West: G2 polarization intensifies – the leadership has clearly shown that it plans to restore economic activity after the passing of the pre-Congress political struggle.

A few weeks after the Communist Party Congress, the leadership laid out a path to exiting “zero-COVID” policies, announced comprehensive measures to stabilize the property sector and managed to tone down the confrontational rhetoric with the US. We expect additional progress to restoring capital market activity, including more IPOs from the tech sector.

These measures could enable a more visible recovery in 2023, restoring some investor confidence. After 2022’s 7% decline in earnings, China is likely to see low double-digit growth. Valuations may also rise from distressed levels.
in the process, a powerful combination for potential returns in 2023.

Japan’s equity performance was around the middle of the pack, as its 15% earnings growth in 2022 beat expectations. The drag came mainly from a 30% depreciation in its currency through October. Traditionally, Japanese equities have benefited from a weaker yen. However, 2022’s depreciation came from a record tightening in US monetary policy, which weighed on all assets. Meanwhile, Japan’s economy was largely unscathed by inflation. The Bank of Japan’s controversial easing policy amid Fed tightening may be vindicated if the US dollar continues to weaken in 2023. The potential for yen recovery, with relatively stable policy and growth, may draw more investor inflows to Japanese equities.

Elsewhere in Asia-Pacific, countries more exposed to the global cycle may still feel pressure, such as Korea, Taiwan and Australia, where earnings are expected to fall in 2023. Indonesia’s commodity advantage may also fade in 2023. Others in Southeast Asia like Thailand and Singapore may do well amid broader re-opening.

As Asia continues relaxing COVID restrictions, we favor re-opening beneficiaries in consumer, e-commerce, pharma and medical tech. Longer term, as US-China rivalry persists, we expect a drive toward building more domestic production capacity as well as those in friendly markets. This is likely to shift the investor mindset from focusing on companies that enable consumption to those that facilitate production, likely boosting industries like sustainable energy, telecom, core technologies and select infrastructure.

**FIGURE 1. ASIA VALUATIONS AND OUR FAVORED ASIA SECTORS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREE MKT CAP</th>
<th>PE</th>
<th>EPS YoY %</th>
<th>P/B</th>
<th>ROE</th>
<th>Div Yld</th>
<th>CAPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US $bn</td>
<td>22E</td>
<td>23E</td>
<td>24E</td>
<td>22E</td>
<td>23E</td>
<td>24E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>3175</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia Pac ex Jp</td>
<td>6570</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1152</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>747</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>-11.1</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>919</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>-13.0</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>927</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Citi Research, Worldscope, MSCI, FactSet, data as of 25 Nov 2022. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. They are shown for illustrative purposes only and do not represent the performance of any specific investment. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Real results may vary. All forecasts are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice and are not intended to be a guarantee of future events.

Note: The above data are compiled based on companies in MSCI AC World Index. The market capitalization for regions, markets and sectors are free-float adjusted. P/E, EPS Growth, P/B, Dividend Yield and ROE are aggregated from FactSet consensus estimate (calendarized to December year end) with current prices. CAPE is calculated by current price divided by 10-year average EPS based on MSCI index-level data. NM = Not Meaningful; NA = Not Available.
Fixed income

Asian fixed income was not spared the effects of rising global central bank policy rates in 2022. The Fed’s rate hikes of almost 400bps through November caused all fixed income valuations to fall. Higher US rates also pressured foreign exchange values. The Bank of Japan (BoJ) reportedly intervened several times in recent months to support the yen, as the BoJ remains unwilling for now to follow other G7 central banks in raising rates.

In US dollar-denominated corporate bonds, China’s real estate sector continued to experience very high levels of distress due in part to government policies contributing to a continual loss of market confidence. This led to large sector price falls not only in high-yield but also formerly investment-grade (IG) bonds.

Policies around the real estate sector have been improving since the third quarter of 2022. After the October Party Congress, China’s financial authorities announced comprehensive measures to stop widening defaults, accelerate project completions, facilitate restructuring and restore housing demand. Market confidence rebounded sharply in November and may mark the end of this round of crisis in China.

For investors with high risk tolerance, real estate can potentially offer tactical outperformance if policies enable a rebound in sales and cash flows. For more conservative investors, US dollar-denominated investment-grade issuers may offer interesting yield premiums to their US counterparts of similar ratings and maturities, such as those in higher rated financials, energy, materials and tech/telecom.

Corporates aside, various Asian sovereigns with strong trade balances and healthy US dollar reserves may also be interesting for adding potential diversification to a global fixed income allocation.

Currencies

Asian currencies – as represented by the Bloomberg JP Morgan Asia Dollar Index – weakened 11.3% in 2022 through October. The Fed’s 400bps of rate hikes through November left US yields much more attractive than many local Asian sovereign yields. The resulting negative carry caused capital outflows and hit Asian currencies, with some central banks repeatedly intervening to support their currencies, notably Japan, China and Hong Kong.

Fed tightening may continue in early 2023, during which time the US dollar may remain supported. But when US economic data turn weaker and the Fed pivots to cutting, the dollar may see substantial downside. Some early signs of this are already evident in late 2022.
The Japanese yen appears best placed among Asian currencies for a snapback. Due to the Bank of Japan’s easing stance, short yen positions are at record levels. The reversal will likely be as dramatic as the yen’s 30% weakening through October in 2022.

The Australian dollar may also reverse substantially. After an 18% depreciation between April and October, it rebounded 8% in one month on the first hints of peak in US yields. The Reserve Bank of Australia had also been consistently raising rates and may outlast the Fed’s hikes.

The Chinese yuan saw 16% peak-to-trough depreciation in 2022 but could see a comeback in 2023. Some suspect that China’s recovery may weaken its currency because its import demand would rise, while exports fall as the US economy stuttered. However, the Chinese yuan’s depreciation resulted mainly from Chinese government bonds’ 1% positive carry turning to a 2% negative carry versus US Treasury bonds. This gap is likely to narrow if China stages a recovery and emerges from deflation, lifting Chinese yields in 2023, while US yields likely fall.

**Source:** Bloomberg Barclays, Bloomberg and The Yield Book, as of 24 Nov 2022. Past performance is no guarantee of future returns. Real results may vary. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. They are shown for illustrative purposes only and do not represent the performance of any specific investment. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.
Europe: Bracing for winter recession

Amid the energy crisis, Europe looks set for a difficult year. Despite cheap assets and currencies, we remain cautious for now.

- We expect both the eurozone and the UK to see slightly negative GDP growth in 2023.
- While valuations are low, we are neutral UK equities and underweight Europe ex-UK.
- We are staying underweight European sovereign and credit.
- The euro and sterling seem likely to remain at weak levels pending any decisive turn in the US dollar.

GUILLAUME MENUET
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JUDIYAH AMIRTHANATHAR
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Overview

Real GDP growth in the eurozone may fall 0.5% in 2023 after rising 3.2% in 2022. In the UK, we see a 1.0% drop in 2023 after a rise of 4.3% in 2022. Consumer spending should weaken in coming quarters as household confidence declines given high levels of inflation and the ‘cost of living’ crisis. Elevated uncertainty and the central bank-driven rapid tightening in financing conditions will likely induce firms to trim hiring and investment in early 2023. A short-lived recession lasting between three or four quarters is our baseline scenario for 2023.

Some European countries could be at risk of power shortages this winter as natural gas storage facilities are not evenly distributed. Geopolitical risk is a clear and present danger until the war in Ukraine ends. As a large exporter of goods and services, Europe will be impacted by the expected slowdown in global economic activity, even if recent currency depreciation against the dollar cushions the blow.

While no major elections are due in 2023, politics could pose a significant risk. The UK is struggling with the barriers that it now faces when trading with the European Union since Brexit. It is also suffering from temporarily stressed public finances. It is not clear whether the new prime minister (PM) Rishi Sunak can establish a more constructive trading relationship with the EU.

France and Germany – the EU’s foremost powers – seem to disagree on many important issues such as energy and defense. In Italy, new PM Georgia Meloni leads a fractious far-right-led coalition. Her administration is keen to receive cash from the NextGenEU COVID Recovery Fund. However, her euro-skepticism creates risks of confrontation with the EU over sovereignty-related issues.

Fiscal policy in 2023 is set to tighten, as governments seek to shrink their budget deficits. However, the EU is unlikely to reintroduce strict fiscal rules – suspended during the pandemic - before 2024. The European Central Bank (ECB) and others will probably tighten monetary policy further. But as inflation begins falling back from multi-decade highs, we expect policy rates to peak amid weakening domestic demand.

Equities

Our favored European markets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SECTORS</th>
<th>EPS GROWTH FORECAST¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consumer staples</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: 1 - FactSet consensus estimates, as of 23 Nov 2022. 2 - Bloomberg, as of 23 Nov 2022. Past performance is no guarantee of future returns. Real results may vary. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. All forecasts are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice and are not intended to be a guarantee of future events.

Europe ex-UK equities have been under pressure amid the Russia-Ukraine war, gas supply issues, tighter financial conditions and higher-than-expected inflation. UK equities have done much better, thanks to higher weightings in stronger performing sectors such as energy, healthcare and financials. For 2023/24, we remain underweight Europe ex-UK equities and neutral on UK equities.

As the global economy slows markedly in 2023, corporate revenues could come under pressure. With various input costs including wages set to increase further next year, operating margins are expected to narrow during the first half of 2023. This will likely translate into lower expectations for corporate earnings per share (EPS).

Bottom-up analyst consensus forecasts are for European ex-UK EPS to grow 2.1% in 2023 after rising 15.8% in 2022. UK EPS are seen contracting 2.8% in 2023 after rising 36.8% in 2022. We believe these expectations are too optimistic, with further downgrades likely in the coming quarters. Relative and absolute valuations remain cheap for 2023, with Europe ex-UK on 12.7% forecast earnings and the UK on 9.6%.

In this challenging environment, we prefer firms with strong management, robust balance sheets, plentiful cash flow and resilient earnings and dividends. We favor defensive sectors such as healthcare and consumer staples, while being less constructive on energy and industrials. We continue to see upside potential for green energy and infrastructure going into 2023, given Europe’s need to diversify its energy mix away from Russian natural gas dependency.

Persistently high inflation in early 2023 and tighter central bank policies will likely challenge equities. By the second half, however, we expect European and UK equities will likely be looking ahead to an early-stage economic recovery. Key risks to our view are still skewed to the downside, ranging from a deeper recession, higher inflation for longer, gas supply issues and delayed Chinese recovery.
## FIGURE 1. EMEA VALUATIONS AND OUR FAVORED EMEA SECTORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priced as of close on 18 Nov 22</th>
<th>P/E</th>
<th>EPS YoY %</th>
<th>P/B</th>
<th>ROE</th>
<th>Div Yld</th>
<th>CAPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22E</td>
<td>23E</td>
<td>24E</td>
<td>22E</td>
<td>23E</td>
<td>24E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>-2.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe ex UK</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>-7.2</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>-11.6</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>-29.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>-6.1</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>93.0</td>
<td>-8.2</td>
<td>-14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>-10.3</td>
<td>-10.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priced as of close on 18 Nov 22</th>
<th>P/E</th>
<th>EPS YoY %</th>
<th>P/B</th>
<th>ROE</th>
<th>Div Yld</th>
<th>CAPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>148.2</td>
<td>-14.8</td>
<td>-16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer staples</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Citi Research, Worldscope, MSCI, FactSet, data as of 18 Nov 2022. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. They are shown for illustrative purposes only and do not represent the performance of any specific investment. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Real results may vary. All forecasts are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice, and are not intended to be a guarantee of future events.

Note: The above data are compiled based on companies in MSCI AC World Index. The market capitalization for regions, markets and sectors are free–float adjusted. P/E, EPS Growth, P/B, Dividend Yield and ROE are aggregated from FactSet consensus estimate (calendarized to December year end) with current prices. CAPE is calculated by current price divided by 10–year average EPS based on MSCI index–level data. NM = Not Meaningful; NA = Not Available.
Fixed income

Developed European investment-grade and sovereign yields soared in 2022 as central banks hiked rates to tackle much higher-than-anticipated inflation. After eight years, the ECB ended its negative rates experiment and two of its pandemic-era asset-buying programs. Ten-year Bund yields surged from -0.18% to 2.41% before slipping below 1.98% in late November.

The Bank of England (BoE) had already started hiking rates in December 2021. This initiated a bear market in bonds, with 10-year UK gilt yields surging from 0.97% to a peak of 4.50% in late September. The rise was exacerbated by unfunded tax cut proposals from short-lived prime minister Liz Truss. However, a change of PM, finance minister and a new autumn budgetary statement reassured financial markets, with 10-year gilt yields dropping toward 3.14%.

We anticipate a further withdrawal of liquidity in 2023 as both the ECB and BoE shrink their balance sheets. We see policy rates peaking at 2.5% and 4.25% respectively. Lower inflation and restrictive policy stances throughout 2023 will likely result in range-bound euro area and UK sovereign yields.

However, recessionary conditions could create opportunities for local investors keen to increase their exposure to short-dated sovereign bonds while reducing portfolio volatility. By end 2023, we see 10-year yields at 2.25%-2.50% for Bunds and 3.50%-3.75% for gilts.

For European corporate bonds, investors spent much of 2022 seeking out more creditworthy issuers. In 2021, they did the opposite, prioritizing yield over ratings. Corporate earnings were resilient in the first half of 2022, with companies refinancing most of their maturing debt early and at lower yields. The second half was a different story in the European investment-grade market, amid a significant reduction in bond supply.

We anticipate this trend will likely continue in 2023. On the one hand, fundamentals remain positive, with leverage ratios at an all-time low and interest rate coverage ratios at all-time highs. On the other, profit margins are coming under pressure from higher debt servicing and input costs, which is impacting firms’ net income. From record low default rates in 2022, we anticipate an increase in 2023 that will increase investors’ appetite for higher quality issuers. We thus remain underweight European sovereign and credit.

**FIGURE 2: EMEA FIXED INCOME YIELDS (%)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sovereign Bond/CORP</th>
<th>2022-09-30</th>
<th>2023-12-31</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Swiss 10y Sov</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany 10y Sov</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands 10y Sov</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria 10Y Sov</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium 10Y Sov</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France 10y Sov</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland 10y Sov</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal 10y Sov</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>3.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro-Aggregate IG Index</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK 10y Sov</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro IG Corporates</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy 10y Sov</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>3.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain 10y Sov</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>3.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece 10y Sov</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>4.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBP IG Corporates</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>5.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBP HY Corporates (ex-financials)</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>7.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro HY Corporates</td>
<td>10.35</td>
<td>10.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro Capital Securities</td>
<td>10.80</td>
<td>10.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Bloomberg Barclays, Bloomberg and The Yield Book, as of 22 Nov 2022. Past performance is no guarantee of future returns. Real results may vary. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. They are shown for illustrative purposes only and do not represent the performance of any specific investment. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.
Currencies

The British pound has recovered somewhat from the intense selling that greeted former PM Liz Truss’s unfunded tax cut proposals in September 2022. For sterling to make sustained gains, though, the UK needs to present a sustainable long-term growth strategy. The outlook for 2023 is bleak, with recession likely, the developed world’s worst external deficit and trade with the EU hampered by Brexit.

The BoE has an equally difficult task of trying to lower a high inflation rate without deepening the UK recession and home price declines. Against this backdrop, we see sterling vulnerable to further bouts of weakness against the US dollar. We expect an average range of $1.23-$1.28 until the longer term picture shows improvement.

Like sterling, the euro faces multiple headwinds. The single currency zone also faces an unfavorable mix of lower growth, high inflation and rising rates in the months ahead. The ECB is expected to hike rates to 2.5% amid fears of a severe winter’s effect on energy consumption, China’s delayed recovery and likely recession in Europe. These forces suggest the euro staying weaker for longer in a $1.09-$1.14 average range.

The caveat is the timing of a turn in the US dollar. Should the Fed turn more dovish in its monetary policy, the US dollar could weaken across the board, including against the euro and sterling. The timing of such a move remains difficult to forecast, however.
Latin America: Selective opportunities amid cheap valuations

With regional central banks having done their job in fighting inflation in 2022, our focus is on individual countries’ fiscal policies, as well as political and social dynamics. Equity valuations are attractive across the board, but upside could be limited by uncertainty around government spending policies.

- Amid a decelerating global economy, Latin America may grow around 1% in 2023
- Equities in Brazil and Mexico are on low valuations while their fundamentals look solid
- We see Latin American fixed income as a “bond picker’s market” at the moment
- Conditions for regional currencies may be favorable in 2023, especially if the US dollar weakens
Our favored markets

**EQUITY EPS GROWTH FORECAST**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>EPS Growth Forecast</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>-15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SECTORS EPS GROWTH FORECAST**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>EPS Growth Forecast</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecom</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Staples</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIXED INCOME YIELDS**

| Brazil investment grade and high yield | 6% |

Sources: 1 - FactSet consensus estimates, as of 23 Nov 2022; 2 - Bloomberg, as of 23 Nov 2022. Past performance is no guarantee of future returns. Real results may vary. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. All forecasts are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice and are not intended to be a guarantee of future events.

Latin America’s largest economies could grow on average around 1% in 2023. This rate is lower than the 2.5-3.0% range for 2022. And it reflects our view that the global economy will decelerate in 2023 as higher policy rates take effect.

In 2022, the region added two further left-leaning presidents: Gustavo Petro in Colombia and Luis Ignacio Lula da Silva in Brazil. These two elections completed the wave of left-wing populism across all the major economies. Argentina, which often goes against the grain, might break this pattern in 2023.

Despite a shift in economic policy toward higher public spending among these countries, the magnitude of risks is quite different. Each nation exhibits different political and economic undercurrents, requiring investor discernment in portfolio construction.

Mexico’s economy is likely to suffer the deceleration we expect in the US, its largest trading partner. López Obrador’s (“AMLO”) six-year term will end in 2024, suggesting he will focus more on building his legacy as he cannot be re-elected. Reduced economic activity constrained fiscal resources and political uncertainty could put pressure on Mexico’s solid market performance since the 2020 pandemic lows.

The fate of Brazil’s fiscal accounts will remain markets’ principal focus. Lula’s campaign pledges for social spending add up to roughly $40bn, around 2% of GDP, such resources that are unavailable within the current spending framework. The fiscal spending debate may dominate economic headlines throughout 2023. While the central bank has managed to bring down inflation expectations, its success could be at risk if Lula’s spending plans are undiluted.

Colombia, Peru and Chile have unproven new administrations, which face the challenge of reconciling aggressive social spending promises with available resources. Chile and Colombia are the most uncertain here, as they are dealing with proposals for more significant changes such as constitutional reform and contentious pension, tax, labor and property rights reforms.

Argentina will likely have another rough year, with government spending and inflation accelerating as elections loom. Investors will watch for a potential change in administration that could foster positive expectations. But the macroeconomic adjustment required is massive and a challenge for any government.

Equities

The MSCI Latin America Index is up nearly 4% year to date, a strong performance compared to global equities, down around 18%. Excluding Colombia - down 15% albeit still outperforming global equities - every other major regional equity market saw positive returns to varying degrees.

Latin American earnings per share (EPS) growth was a solid 13% in 2022. And the absolute level of expected EPS of $287 is not far from the commodity super cycle record of 2007-2011. Forward price/earnings multiples going into 2023 are practically the same as last year, again suggesting the potential for attractive valuations. For the region, a 12.5% decline in EPS is forecast.
### FIGURE 1. LATIN AMERICA VALUATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MKT cap</th>
<th>P/E</th>
<th>EPS YoY %</th>
<th>P/B</th>
<th>ROE</th>
<th>Div Yld</th>
<th>CAPE 10yr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US$bn</td>
<td>'23Fwd</td>
<td>'24FWD</td>
<td>'23E</td>
<td>'24E</td>
<td>'23E</td>
<td>'23E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSCI EM Latin America</td>
<td>541.2</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>-13.0%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>-25.4%</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>324.3</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>-15.0%</td>
<td>-2.2%</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>154.4</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>-26.8%</td>
<td>-7.3%</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>-18.2%</td>
<td>-4.5%</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>-13.4%</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FactSet Consensus, MSCI, as of 22 Nov 2022. Note: The above data are compiled based on companies in MSCI AC World Index. Free MC is free-float adjusted market capitalization for regions, markets and sectors. P/E (Price/Earnings), EPS growth (Earnings per share), P/B (Price/Book), Dividend yield (DY) and RoE (Return on Equity) are aggregated from FactSet consensus estimates. CAPE stands for Cyclically Adjusted Price to Earnings, and is defined as: Current price/ten-year average inflation-adjusted EPS. Indices all from MSCI. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. They are shown for illustrative purposes only. Past performance is no guarantee of future returns. Real results may vary.

### FIGURE 2. OUR FAVORED LATIN AMERICAN SECTORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MKT cap</th>
<th>P/E</th>
<th>EPS YoY %</th>
<th>P/B</th>
<th>ROE</th>
<th>Div Yld</th>
<th>CAPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US$bn</td>
<td>'23Fwd</td>
<td>'24FWD</td>
<td>'23E</td>
<td>'24E</td>
<td>'23E</td>
<td>'23E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Info technology</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecom</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer staples</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Citi Research, Worldscope, MSCI, FactSet, as of 22 Nov 2022. Note: The above data are compiled based on companies in MSCI AC World Index. The market capitalization for regions, markets and sectors are free-float adjusted. P/E (Price/Earnings), EPS growth (Earnings per share), P/B (Price/Book), Dividend yield and RoE (Return on Equity) are aggregated from FactSet consensus estimates (calendarized to December year end) with current prices. CAPE is calculated by current price divided by ten-year average EPS based on MSCI index level data. NM = Not Meaningful; NA = Not Available. Indices all from MSCI. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. They are shown for illustrative purposes only. Past performance is no guarantee of future returns. Real results may vary. All forecasts are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice and are not intended to be a guarantee of future events.
The Brazil MSCI Index had a volatile but solid performance in 2022, gaining 9%. Of the large, more accessible equity markets in the region, it stands out again in 2023. By nature, a highly volatile market, Brazilian valuations remain quite attractive. And despite fiscal policy uncertainty, fundamental dynamics look fairly solid.

While more concentrated than Brazil’s well-diversified economy, the MSCI Brazil Index offers potential opportunities for global portfolios to participate in agriculture, energy, financial, retail and materials. The large-cap companies that dominate the index offer sizable revenue streams, improved balance sheets and profitability, and generous dividend payout policies. Despite their cyclical bent, forward price/earnings multiples of around seven compensate for this. Notwithstanding high expected volatility, Brazil could again prove an attractive play in 2023 as China reopens and the US economic cycle bottoms out.

The MSCI Mexico Index is up 108% from its 2020 lows, compared with 82% and 67% for the S&P 500 and MSCI World respectively. This is impressive both in absolute and relative terms. While up nearly 2% year to date, Mexico more importantly is down only 7% from its post-pandemic highs. That compares to a 20% decline for the S&P.

The MSCI Mexico Index is up 108% from its 2020 lows, compared with 82% and 67% for the S&P 500 and MSCI World respectively. This is impressive both in absolute and relative terms. While up nearly 2% year to date, Mexico more importantly is down only 7% from its post-pandemic highs. That compares to a 20% decline for the S&P.

On around 11 times forward earnings, Mexico trades below its historical average of around 13, but above Brazil. We expect its strong economic ties to the US to hit profitability in 2023, although this is not yet visible in 2023 earnings forecasts. Domestic confidence and investment could also suffer from rising political uncertainty ahead of the 2024 elections, leading to capital outflows and currency weakness, the latter impacting equity returns in US dollars.

Our favored regional sectors are healthcare and consumer discretionary, energy and materials. These sectors should benefit from easier monetary policy and still robust external and commodity sectors.

**Fixed income**

Latin American US dollar-denominated fixed income fared poorly in 2022, like bonds globally. However, for the two large bellwethers in the region Brazil and Mexico, poor total return performance due to rising yields was almost entirely attributable to dollar rates moving higher.

The credit risk of those two countries - as measured by credit spreads - did not increase much. Brazil 10-year credit spreads had risen only marginally from 291bps to 352bps in the year to 23 November 2022. Likewise, the Brazilian national oil company saw 10-year credit spreads rise only about 70bps from 346bps to 413bps. Both Brazil and the national oil company are rated just below investment grade. So, compared to US high yield index credit spreads which rose over 160bps from 280bps to 445bps, they clearly outperformed.

Mexico - which has an investment-grade rating - saw more credit spread deterioration, with 10-year credit default swaps (CDS) rising from 156bps to 229bps. Again, though, this was somewhat marginal when compared to the impact from almost 400bps of Federal Reserve rate hikes.

Not all countries or large issuers performed as well, however. Mexico’s state-owned oil company PEMEX, for example, was unable to reduce its debt burden despite a very strong oil market. Its 10-year credit default spread widened from 400bps to 760bps. Colombia elected a left-leaning president whose initial platform included eliminating crude oil exports. Given oil is one of Colombia’s primary exports, the country’s US dollar credit spreads also widened considerably, from 273bps to 425bps.

Throughout Latin America sovereign and corporate bonds, there is a large disparity in credit performance. We think this will persist, so investors should consider the region a “bond picker’s” market. The region is reasonably well protected against a stronger dollar owing to its status as a commodity-exporting powerhouse, along with its strong intermediate goods export sectors as well, both of which draw dollars into the region.

In addition, most countries’ central banks have acted speedily in raising rates to combat inflation and stay ahead of the Fed’s hikes. This has resulted in significant relative currency strength against the dollar.

That said, commodity and other goods prices may decline in 2023 if the US or Europe enter recession. Additionally, following Lula’s election in Brazil, all countries in Latin America are now run by left-leaning administrations, who may favor ramping up spending on social programs and tempering market-based outcomes in the
corporate sector. Given this uncertainty around policy mix within countries, we suggest investors focus on sovereigns with stronger credit metrics. For corporates, we suggest investors primarily consider globally dominant companies and local banks that finance them, with management who have already exhibited balance sheet and capital allocation discipline.

Currencies

Most regional currencies had a solid 2022 thanks to strong trade flows and central bank tightening. The exceptions to this were the Colombian and Chilean pesos, down 15% and 4% against the US dollar respectively. These falls mostly resulted from domestic political and structural reform uncertainty. Higher inflation that left real policy rates in slightly negative territory was also a factor. But this is expected to correct as 2023 inflation expectations are much lower.

Broadly speaking, monetary policy in the region is likely to become less restrictive than in the developed world as nominal policy rates are now much higher than recent inflation. The challenge for central banks will be handling the inflationary risks from 2023’s looser fiscal policy. As the US enters recession and markets look for Fed rate cuts, the US dollar could see a broad-based weakening. Latin American policy rates are likely to continue to provide attractive carry. Barring policy mistakes, the environment could be relatively benign.

We expect the Brazilian real to range trade in 2023, capped by fiscal policy uncertainty but also supported by very high real rates. The Mexican peso could see depreciation pressure as its economy and trade slow, while the political environment puts pressure on capital flows.

FIGURE 3. LATIN AMERICA FIXED INCOME YIELDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Description</th>
<th>Yields</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chile 10y Sov (USD)</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru 10y Sov (USD)</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile 6y Sov (local)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico 10Y Sov (USD)</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil 10y Sov (USD)</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru 4y Sov (local)</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia 10y Sov (USD)</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America Agg (USD)</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico 5y Sov (local)</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia 5y Sov (local)</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil 4y Sov (local)</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

North America: The hunt for quality and yield

We enter 2023 positioned for end-of-cycle conditions. But we expect to pivot in the second half of the year toward falling interest rates’ potential beneficiaries.

- We expect 0.7% full-year average real GDP growth in the US and 0.9% in Canada in 2023
- In equities, we favor dividend growers, plus the consumer staples and healthcare sectors
- North American fixed income offers some of the world’s most attractive yields
- We look for the US dollar to peak and then weaken in 2023
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Our Favored US Market

**EQUITY EPS GROWTH FORECAST**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>EPS Growth (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US large-cap equities</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SECTORS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>EPS Growth (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consumer staples</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIXED INCOME**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Category</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US IG Preferreds</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US IG Corporates</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Treasury (2-year)</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: 1 – FactSet consensus estimates, as of 23 Nov 2022; 2 – Bloomberg, as of 23 Nov 2022. Past performance is no guarantee of future returns. Real results may vary. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. All forecasts are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice and are not intended to be a guarantee of future events.

**Overview**

As Fed monetary tightening sequentially hits housing, manufacturing, profits and employment, we see 70% odds of a recession in 2023. For the year overall, we look for full-year average real GDP growth of 0.7% before it accelerates to 2.0% in 2024.

If we are correct, the unemployment rate should rise toward 5.25%. The large fiscal measures of 2020-2022 and split federal government resulting from the midterm elections have reduced the appetite for big spending initiatives. The fiscal response to a downturn is likely to rest with automatic stabilizers such as unemployment insurance and perhaps some well-timed spending derived from 2021’s infrastructure bill.

The Fed is likely to stop raising rates in early 2023 as signs of economic strain become more apparent. After a pause in the policy rate near 5%, we expect the Fed to cut rates as inflation and employment decline. We expect US inflation to fall in 2023 to 3.5%. This should allow 10-year Treasury yields to slip lower toward 3%.

After growth near 3.3% in 2022, Canadian GDP may increase by just 0.9% in 2023 before picking up in 2024. Like the Fed, the Bank of Canada appears likely to stop raising rates in early 2023.

In recent years, the US has undergone a series of policy initiatives to address its competitiveness in manufacturing, bolster supply chain resilience and encourage onshoring and friend-shoring, or the relocation of activities to allied nations. It has also separated parts of its cutting-edge technology ecosystem from that of China’s, with a special focus on semiconductors, renewable energy and electric vehicles. These efforts include a lower corporate tax rate, accelerated depreciation schedules, tariffs on China, the US-Mexico-Canada trade agreement (USMCA), 2021’s infrastructure bill, and 2022’s Chips & Science Act and Inflation Reduction Act (renewable energy bill).

Together, these initiatives represent the makings of a nascent US industrial policy. They are amplified by incentives to substitute cutting-edge digital technology for labor. This policy should shape activity during the next economic expansion and beyond. And its impact could intensify when the US dollar weakens, further enhancing US competitiveness.

In addition to central bank tightening, war in Ukraine, Chinese lockdowns, elevated European energy prices and potential geopolitical provocations are among the risks to monitor. We are also watching the degree to which home prices respond to elevated mortgage rates.

Longer term, we cannot rule out that greater centralized planning and supply chains that prioritize resiliency over efficiency could lead to a less dynamic allocation of resources. If so, a less favorable growth-inflation trade-off could ensue.
Equities

US equities declined 18.8% in the year through 31 October, as investors adjusted to a hawkish Fed trying to arrest inflation by slowing the economy. This came after robust returns in 2019-2021. We expect early-year challenges in 2023 followed by gains later in the year. Markets traditionally turn up a few months before the economy pulls out of a recession. S&P 500 earnings per share (EPS) is likely to contract by almost 10% in 2023 before growing again in 2024, in our view.

Canada’s market trades on a lower multiple of 2023’s estimated earnings than the US market, given its higher weighting in value-oriented financials, materials and energy companies. We have a neutral stance on Canadian large caps. This is based on our view that oil prices may not respond well to a recession.

We head into 2023 with end-of-cycle, defensive positioning. We see potential opportunities in high-quality US large-cap equities with dividend payments that have consistently increased over time. These stocks tend to be less volatile than the overall market, and this approach generally skews more toward consumer staples and industrials than would result from investing in the S&P 500 Index. We also favor healthcare stocks. They have outperformed the broader market in seven of the past eight times the economy decelerated.

FIGURE 1. NORTH AMERICA VALUATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priced as of close on 25 Nov 22</th>
<th>Free MC</th>
<th>Wgt</th>
<th>P/E</th>
<th>EPS YoY %</th>
<th>P/B</th>
<th>ROE</th>
<th>Div Yld</th>
<th>CAPE</th>
<th>Perf % (local)</th>
<th>Perf % (USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>37,492</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>35,655</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>1,837</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FactSet Consensus, MSCI, as of 23 Nov 2022. Note: The above data are compiled based on companies in MSCI AC World Index. Free MC is free-float adjusted market capitalization for regions, markets and sectors. P/E (Price/Earnings), EPS growth (Earnings per share), P/B (Price/Book), Dividend yield (DY) and ROE (Return on Equity) are aggregated from FactSet consensus estimates. CAPE stands for Cyclically Adjusted Price to Earnings, and is defined as: Current price/ten-year average inflation-adjusted EPS. Indices all from MSCI. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. They are shown for illustrative purposes only. Past performance is no guarantee of future returns. Real results may vary.
When rates do start falling, we see potential opportunity in technology, a sector that often outperforms in the twelve months following the first Fed rate cuts. Staples, retailers and transportation stocks also tend to perform well when the Fed lowers rates. In the first year of a new bull market, small-cap stocks often outperform large-cap stocks.

Longer term, we see opportunities in the intersection of technology and manufacturing - industrial technology - created by harnessing the potential of robotics, artificial intelligence, quantum algorithms, transportation logistics and other elements of the digital age.

As the internet of things (IoT) offers consumers smart doorbells and temperature control, smart technology offers industrial tech firms the opportunity to re-engineer products, parts, materials, systems, processes and transportation utilizing vast amounts of data. Tech-enabled industrial firms, as well as their supply chains, stand to benefit from US industrial policy efforts and our unstoppable trends.

### FIGURE 2. OUR FAVORED NORTH AMERICAN SECTORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>35,655</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-16.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-16.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer staples</td>
<td>2,410</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare</td>
<td>5,378</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Citi Research, Worldscope, MSCI, FactSet, as of 28 Oct 2022. Note: The above data are compiled based on companies in MSCI AC World Index. The market capitalization for regions, markets and sectors are free–float adjusted. P/E (Price/Earnings), EPS growth (Earnings per share), P/B (Price/Book), Dividend yield and RoE (Return on Equity) are aggregated from FactSet consensus estimates (calendarized to December year end) with current prices. CAPE is calculated by current price divided by ten–year average EPS based on MSCI index level data. NM = Not Meaningful; NA = Not Available. Indices all from MSCI. Indices are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. They are shown for illustrative purposes only. Past performance is no guarantee of future returns. Real results may vary. All forecasts are expressions of opinion, are subject to change without notice and are not intended to be a guarantee of future events.
Fixed income

US fixed income markets have suffered one of their worst years ever in 2022 as the Fed moved aggressively to tackle stubborn inflation. After hiking rates by almost 4% in 2022, the market still expects the central bank to raise rates again in December and in early 2023 near 5%. With these expectations already discounted, long-term investors can now benefit from all types of US dollar-denominated fixed income offering very high yields relative to the past 15 years.

Monetary policy works with long and variable lags. Because the Fed has raised its Fed Funds Rate to so high and so quickly in 2022, the chances of recession in the US are elevated in 2023. One sign that the market is concerned about this is that longer dated Treasury bonds yield less than short-dated Treasury bonds, a phenomenon known as “an inverted yield curve.”

For example, as of 23 November 2022, the one-year Treasury bill currently yields 4.74%, which is 100bps above the 10-year Treasury yield of 3.74%. The norm is for longer dated issues to have higher yields to reward investors for locking up their money for a longer period and forgoing potential investment opportunities along the way.

Amid the uncertainty, we are cautious. The primary ways to express caution in the bond market are by buying higher quality and shorter dated issues. Fortunately, given today's yield curve inversion, investors do not have to buy long-dated or lower rated bonds to earn significant yield. For investors with a heavy cash allocation, we favor shifting some into shorter duration Treasury bonds and shorter duration US investment-grade bonds, with maturities of one to three years.

For investors who would like to lock in today’s higher yields for slightly longer, they might consider three- to seven-year maturities. Selected BB-rated high-yield bonds - as well as selected investment-grade preferred securities - may provide incremental yield opportunities over traditional investment-grade corporate bonds. As such, they are worth considering if the risks are well understood.

Another possibility is fixed income ladder portfolios, with bonds of staggered maturity dates that allow for reinvestment of matured principal at potentially higher rates in the future. For investors concerned about inflation, Treasury Inflation Protected Securities (TIPS) pay a nominal yield plus the headline Consumer Price Inflation (CPI) rate and offer a portfolio hedging opportunity. For the first time in many years, TIPS pay a high nominal yield of over 1.4% for most maturities as of 23 November, which would allow investors to preserve their purchasing power.

For most higher US taxpaying investors, tax-exempt municipal bonds (“munis”) offer an attractive tax-advantaged yield that may pay more than even lower rated investment-grade bonds on a tax-equivalent basis. Whether shorter or longer dated, munis may offer suitable core portfolio income, historically a good blend with dividend stocks.

Currencies

We expect the US dollar rally since 2021 to exhaust itself sometime in 2023 and then to reverse course. This is partly because the Fed is likely to stop raising interest rates more aggressively than other G10 central banks as economic growth slows. Positioning for dollar strength has become a crowded trade as investors seek out “safe haven” assets amid uncertainty. The US has large trade and fiscal deficits that should prompt softening over time. We expect the Canadian dollar to strengthen once broader US dollar strength wanes.

**FIGURE 3. NORTH AMERICA FIXED INCOME YIELDS (%)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Type</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US HY Corporates</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US HY Bank Loans</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Markets Agg (USD)</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US HY Preferreds</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US IG Preferreds</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US HY Corporates (BB-rated)</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US IG Corporates (BBB-rated)</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US IG Corporates</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US CMBS (IG only)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US ABS (fixed-rate)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Agency Mortgage-backed</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Treasury (10-year)</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada Sov (10-year)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Municipals (10-year)</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Bloomberg Barclays, Bloomberg, as of 22 Nov 2022. Past performance is no guarantee of future returns. Real results may vary.
The emergence of US industrial policy

CHARLIE REINHARD
Head of Investment Strategy, North America

Industrial policy has a mixed reputation at best. The idea of governments forming a grand strategy to develop their economies or key sectors goes against free market orthodoxy. However, this is no mere question of ideology. Past experiments with industrial policy – particularly during its postwar heyday – raise serious doubts over the ability of politicians and public servants to intervene effectively and pick winners, be they sectors or firms. Costly failures at taxpayers’ expense and accusations of pork-barrel politics are rife throughout the history of industrial policy.

Although not branded as such, a series of US policy initiatives in recent years together make up what we believe amounts to an industrial policy. The Trump and Biden administrations have both acted to boost US manufacturing competitiveness, strengthen supply chains, encourage domestic and foreign companies to relocate operations to its North American soil and restrict China’s access to its technology ecosystem. The new US industry policy’s aims – while broad-based – are especially focused on semiconductors, leadership in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) subjects, research & development, clean energy, infrastructure and electric vehicles.

It is easy to understand why the US government is targeting these areas. Research-driven technological leadership has served the world’s largest economy well in recent decades, as a driving force in real GDP growth and in its relative equity market performance. In the coming years and beyond, clean energy, electric vehicles and digitization are likely to become even more vital to prosperity in the US and elsewhere. The US government may believe that it can improve its international competitiveness and keep the upper hand in its economic rivalry with China by intervening more systematically to drive its economy.

How likely is this nascent US industrial policy to achieve its aims? Despite industrial policy’s patchy performance over time, there have been notable successes, such as China. However, that country’s authorities are very much more experienced at central planning and have fewer checks and balances to contend with than the US.

We identify a range of risks associated with the US’ new path. A more prominent role for the US government in directing economic activity could lead to a less favorable mix of growth and inflation through a less efficient allocation of resources. Industrial policy initiatives could also become snarled up in domestic political controversy, especially with the two houses of Congress now each under the control of different parties. Today’s more polarized US-China relationship will also see diminished cooperation between the two, perhaps stifling trade and the ease of innovation. It is very early days for US industrial policy and many of its results may take some years to materialize.

We believe these efforts will provide a long-term tailwind for a number of our unstoppable trends, but only in time will it become clearer to what degree. We will be monitoring the situation closely.
GLOSSARY

ASSET CLASS DEFINITIONS:

Cash is represented by US 3-month Government Bond TR, measuring the US dollar-denominated active 3-Month, fixed-rate, nominal debt issues by the US Treasury.

Commodities asset class contains the index composites – GSCI Precious Metals Index, GSCI Energy Index, GSCI Industrial Metals Index, and GSCI Agricultural Index – measuring investment performance in different markets, namely precious metals (e.g., gold, silver), energy commodity (e.g., oil, coal), industrial metals (e.g., copper, iron ore), and agricultural commodity (i.e., soy, coffee) respectively. Reuters/Jefferies CRB Spot Price Index, the TR/CC CRB Excess Return Index, an arithmetic average of commodity futures prices with monthly rebalancing, is used for supplemental historical data.

Direct Private Investments or Direct Investments imply the purchase or acquisition of a stake or controlling interest in a business, asset or special purpose vehicle/instrument by means other than the purchase of shares.

Emerging Markets (EM) Hard Currency Fixed Income is represented by the FTSE Emerging Market Sovereign Bond Index (ESBI), covering hard currency emerging market sovereign debt.

Global Developed Market Corporate Fixed Income is composed of Bloomberg Barclays indices measuring performance of fixed-rate local currency emerging markets government debt for 19 different markets across Latin America, EMEA and Asia regions. iBoxx ABF China Gov’t. Bond, the Markit iBoxx ABF Index comprising local currency debt from China, is used for supplemental historical data.

Ibbotson High Yield Index, a broad high yield index including bonds across the maturity spectrum, within the BB–B rated credit quality spectrum, included in the below–investment–grade universe, is used for supplemental historical data.

Hedge Funds are composed of investment managers employing different investment styles as characterized by different subcategories – HFRI Equity Long/Short: Positions both long and short in primarily equity and equity derivative securities; HFRI Credit: Positions in corporate fixed income securities; HFRI Event Driven: Positions in companies currently or prospectively involved in a wide variety of corporate transactions; HFRI Relative Value: Positions based on a valuation discrepancy between multiple securities; HFRI Multi Strategy: Positions based on realization of a spread between related yield instruments; HFRI Macro: Positions based on movements in underlying economic variables and their impact on different markets; Barclays Trader CTA Index: The composite performance of established programs (Commodity Trading Advisors) with more than four years of performance history.

High Yield Bank Loans are debt financing obligations issued by a bank or other financial institution to a company or individual that holds legal claim to the borrower’s assets in the event of a corporate bankruptcy. These loans are usually secured by a company’s assets, and often pay a high coupon due to a company’s poor (noninvestment grade) credit worthiness.

High Yield Fixed Income is composed of Bloomberg Barclays indices measuring the non–investment grade, fixed-rate corporate bonds denominated in US dollars, British pounds and euros. Securities are classified as high yield if the middle rating of Moody’s, Fitch, and S&P is Ba1/BB+/BB+ or below, excluding emerging market debt.

Private Equity is an alternative investment class which at its most basic form is the capital or ownership of shares not publicly traded or listed on a stock exchange. Its characteristics are often driven by those for Developed Market Small Cap Equities, adjusted for illiquidity, sector concentration, and greater leverage.

Real Estate Investment Trust or REIT is a corporate entity that either has bulk or all its asset base, income and investments related to real estate. In the US under Security and Exchange Commission (SEC) guidelines, for an entity to qualify as an REIT, at least 90% of its taxable annual income to shareholders in the form of dividends must be from real estate. While typically REITs are publicly traded, not all are, as Public Non–Listed REITs (PNLRs) can register with SEC as REITs, but do not trade on major stock exchanges.

INDEX DEFINITIONS:

Ball Metaverse Index is a selection of companies in categories defined by the Metaverse Market Map.

Bloomberg Global Aggregate Bond Index is a flagship measure of global investment grade debt from twenty–four local currency markets. This multi–currency benchmark includes treasury, government–related, corporate and securitized fixed–rate bonds from both developed and emerging markets issuers.

Bloomberg JPMorgan Asia Currency Index or ADXY is a US dollar tradable index of emerging Asian currencies. It creates a benchmark for monitoring Asia’s currency markets on an aggregate basis.

Bloomberg US Aggregate Index is a broad–based flagship benchmark that measures the investment grade, US dollar denominated, fixed–rate taxable bond market.


Bloomberg US Treasury Index measures US dollar–denominated, fixed–rate, nominal debt issued by the US Treasury. Bloomberg—JP Morgan Asia currency index is a spot index of the most actively traded currency pairs in Asia’s emerging markets valued against the US dollar.

FTSE All–World Index is a stock market index representing global equity performance that covers over 3,100 companies in 47 countries starting in 1986.

FTSE NAREIT Mortgage REITs Index is a floatfree adjusted, market capitalization–weighted index of US Mortgage REITs. Mortgage REITs include all tax–qualified REITs with more than 50 percent of total assets invested in mortgage loans or mortgage–backed securities secured by interests in real property.

HFRI ED Distressed/Restructuring Index tracks distressed/ restructuring strategies which employ an investment process focused on corporate fixed income instruments, primarily on
corporate credit instruments of companies trading at significant discounts to their value at issuance or obliged (par value) at maturity as a result of either formal bankruptcy proceeding or financial market perception of near-term proceedings. Managers are typically actively involved with the management of these companies, frequently involved on creditors’ committees in negotiating the exchange of securities for alternative obligations, either swaps of debt, equity or hybrid securities. Managers employ fundamental credit processes focused on valuation and asset coverage of securities of distressed firms; in most cases portfolio exposures are concentrated in instruments which are publicly traded, in some cases actively and in others under reduced liquidity but in general for which a reasonable public market exists. In contrast to Special Situations, Distressed Strategies employ primarily debt (greater than 60%) but also may maintain related equity exposure.

Index Global Cloud Computing Index tracks the performance of companies that are in the Cloud Computing Industry. The Cloud Computing Industry is involved in the delivery of computing services, servers, storage, databases, networking, software, analytics and more over the Internet which is referred to as ‘The Cloud’.

Indxx Global Fintech Thematic Index tracks the performance of companies listed in developed markets that are offering technology-driven financial services which are disrupting existing business models in the financial services and banking sectors.

MSCI AC Asia ex-Japan Index captures large and mid-cap representation across 2 of 3 Developed Markets (DM) countries* (excluding Japan) and 9 Emerging Markets (EM) countries* in Asia. With 1,187 constituents, the index covers approximately 85% of the free float-adjusted market capitalization in each country.

MSCI AC World Automobiles Index is composed of large- and mid-cap companies listed in developed markets that are primarily engaged and involved in electric grid; electric meters, devices, and networks; energy storage and management; and enabling software used by the smart grid and electric infrastructure sector.

Prime Mobile Payments Index provides a reference measure for the global payments industry by focusing on companies facilitating the mass migration from physical cash registers to a mobile point of sale. Potential beneficiaries of this growing trend include software providers, payment processors, gateways, and credit card networks. Those companies collectively represent mobile payments industry.

ROBO Global Robotics & Automation Index tracks the robotics, automation, and AI revolution for investors. It includes more than 80 robotics and automation stocks across 11 subsectors in over 14 countries.

ROBO Global Healthcare Technology and Innovation Index tracks the global value chain of healthcare technology and innovation. It includes more than 80 stocks across 9 subsectors in 15 countries.

Russell 2000 Index measures the performance of the small-cap segment of the US equity universe. The Russell 2000 Index is a subset of the Russell 3000 Index representing some 10% of the total market capitalization of that index.

S&P 500 Index is a capitalization-weighted index that includes a representative sample of 500 leading companies in leading industries of the US economy. Although the S&P 500 focuses on the large-cap segment of the market, with over 80% coverage of US equities, it is also an ideal proxy for the total market.

S&P 500 Healthcare Index includes companies from the S&P 500 Index that are involved from such areas as pharmaceuticals, healthcare equipment & supplies, biotechnology and healthcare providers and services.

S&P 500 Hotels, Resorts and Cruise Lines Index is a sub-index of the S&P 500 Index and represents the performance of hotels, resorts and cruise line companies that are represented in the latter index.

S&P Global Dividend Aristocrats is designed to measure the performance of the highest dividend yielding companies within the S&P Global Broad Market Index (BMI) that have followed a policy of increasing or stable dividends for at least ten consecutive years.

Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association or SIFMA Municipal Swap Index is a 7-day high-grade market index comprised of tax-exempt Variable Rate Demand Obligations (VRDOs) with certain characteristics. The Index is calculated and published by

---

* Segments of the Brazilian market. With 48 constituents, the index covers about 85% of the Brazilian equity universe.

MSCI China Index captures large and mid-cap representation across China A shares, H shares, B shares, Red chips, P chips and foreign listings (e.g. ADRs). With 704 constituents, the index covers about 85% of this China equity universe.

MSCI Emerging Markets Index captures large and midcap representation across twenty-four Emerging Markets (EM) countries. With 837 constituents, the index covers approximately 85% of the free float-adjusted market capitalization in each country.

MSCI Emerging Markets (EM) Latin America Index captures large and mid-cap representation across five Emerging Markets (EM) countries in Latin America. With 113 constituents, the index covers approximately 85% of the free float adjusted market capitalization in each country.

MSCI Global Alternative Energy Index includes developed and emerging market large-, mid- and small-cap companies that derive 50% or more of their revenues from products and services in Alternative energy.

MSCI World Information Technology Index tracks the large- and mid-cap IT segments across 23 developed markets countries.

MSCI World Index covers large- and mid-cap equities across 23 Developed Markets countries. With 1,603 constituents, the index covers approximately 85% of the free float-adjusted market capitalization in each country.

MSCI World Momentum Index is designed to reflect the performance of an equity momentum strategy by emphasizing stocks with high price momentum, while maintaining reasonably high trading liquidity, investment capacity and moderate index turnover.

Nasdaq 100 is a large-cap growth index consisting of 100 of the largest US and international nonfinancial companies listed on the Nasdaq Stock Market based on market capitalization.

Nasdaq CTA Cybersecurity Index tracks the performance of companies engaged in the Cybersecurity segment of the technology and industrial sectors. The Index includes companies primarily involved in the building, implementation and management of security protocols applied to private and public networks, computers and mobile devices in order to provide protection of the integrity of data and network operations.

Nasdaq QMX Clean Edge Smart Grid Infrastructure Index includes companies that are primarily engaged and involved in electric grid; electric meters, devices, and networks; energy storage and management; and enabling software used by the smart grid and electric infrastructure sector.
Bloomberg. The Index is overseen by SIFMA’s Municipal Swap Index Committee.

Solactive e-commerce Index tracks the price movements in shares of companies which are active in the field of e-commerce. This may include companies that operate e-commerce platforms, provide e-commerce software, analytics or services, and/or primarily sell goods and services online and generate the majority of their overall revenue from online retail.

Solactive Social Media Index tracks the price movements in shares of companies which are active in the social media industry, including companies that provide social networking, file sharing, and other web-based media applications. A maximum of 50 components are included and weighted according to freefloat market capitalization. The index is calculated as a total return index in US dollars.

VIX or the Chicago Board Options Exchange (CBOE) Volatility Index, is a real-time index representing the market’s expectation of 30-day forward-looking volatility, derived from the price inputs of the S&P 500 index options.

OTHER TERMINOLOGY:

Adaptive Valuations Strategies or AVS is Citi Private Bank’s own strategic asset allocation methodology. It determines the suitable long-term mix of assets for each client’s investment portfolio.

Assets Under Management or AUM are the total market value of the investments that a person or entity handles on behalf of investors.

Correlation is a statistical measure of how two assets or asset classes move in relation to one another. Correlation is measured on a scale of 1 to –1. A correlation of 1 implies perfect positive correlation, meaning that two assets or asset classes move in the same direction all of the time. A correlation of –1 implies perfect negative correlation, such that two assets or asset classes move in the opposite direction to each other all the time. A correlation of 0 implies zero correlation, such that there is no relationship between the movements in the two over time.

Digital commerce involves transactions conducted online to purchase goods and services. Digital remittances are funds sent from one person to another over the internet, typically across borders.

EU or the European Union is a political and economic union of 27 member states in Europe.

Eurodollar futures and options are market tools for traders to express views on future interest rate moves.

Fed funds rate or the effective federal funds rate (EFFR) is calculated as a volume-weighted median of overnight federal funds transactions reported in the US FR 2420 Report of Selected Money Market Rates. The federal funds market consists of domestic unsecured borrowings in US dollars by depository institutions from other depository institutions and certain other entities, primarily government-sponsored enterprises.

Internal Rate of Return or IRR is used to measure the profitability of potential investments. It is defined as the discount rate at which the net present value (NPV) of all cash flows from an investment are equal to zero. This measure of return takes into consideration the time value of money and allows for comparison with projected rates of return on other investments.

Mobile POS payments are payments made at the point of sale but facilitated via mobile devices like smart phones.

Sharpe ratio is a measure of risk-adjusted return, expressed as excess return per unit of deviation, typically referred to as risk.

SPAC, short for Special Purpose Acquisition Company, also known as a “blank check company”, is a shell corporation listed on a stock exchange with the purpose of acquiring a private company, thereby making it public without going through the traditional initial public offering process.

Strategic Return Estimates or SREs are based on Citi Private Bank’s forecast of returns for specific asset classes (to which the index belongs) over a 10-year time horizon. The forecast for each specific asset class is made using a proprietary methodology based on the assumption that equity valuations revert to their long-term trend over time.
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Bonds are affected by a number of risks, including fluctuations in interest rates, credit risk and prepayment risk. In general, as prevailing interest rates rise, fixed income securities prices will fall. Bonds face credit risk if a decline in an issuer’s credit rating, or creditworthiness, causes a bond’s price to decline. High yield bonds are subject to additional risks such as increased risk of default and greater volatility because of the lower credit quality of the issues. Finally, bonds can be subject to prepayment risk. When interest rates fall, an issuer may choose to borrow money at a lower interest rate, while paying off its previously issued bonds. As a consequence, underlying bonds will lose the interest payments from the investment and will be forced to reinvest in a market where prevailing interest rates are lower than when the initial investment was made.
Bond rating equivalence

Alpha and/or numeric symbols used to give indications of relative credit quality. In the municipal market, these designations are published by the rating services. Internal ratings are also used by other market participants to indicate credit quality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond credit quality ratings</th>
<th>Rating agencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Credit risk</td>
<td>Moody's¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment grade</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest quality</td>
<td>Aaa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High quality (very strong)</td>
<td>Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper medium grade (strong)</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium grade</td>
<td>Baa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Investment grade</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower medium grade (somewhat speculative)</td>
<td>Ba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low grade (speculative)</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor quality (may default)</td>
<td>Caa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most speculative</td>
<td>Ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No interest being paid or bankruptcy petition filled</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In default</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ The ratings from Aa to Ca by Moody's may be modified by the addition of a 1, 2, or 3 to show relative standing within the category.

² The ratings from AA to CC by Standard and Poor's and Fitch Ratings may be modified by the addition of a plus or a minus to show relative standing within the category.

(MLP's) – Energy Related MLPs May Exhibit High Volatility. While not historically very volatile, in certain market environments Energy Related MLPs may exhibit high volatility.

Changes in Regulatory or Tax Treatment of Energy Related MLPs.
If the IRS changes the current tax treatment of the master limited partnerships included in the Basket of Energy Related MLPs thereby subjecting them to higher rates of taxation, or if other regulatory authorities enact regulations which negatively affect the ability of the master limited partnerships to generate income or distribute dividends to holders of common units, the return on the Notes, if any, could be dramatically reduced. Investment in a basket of Energy Related MLPs may expose the investor to concentration risk due to industry, geographical, political, and regulatory concentration.

Mortgage–backed securities (“MBS”), which include collateralized mortgage obligations (“CMOs”), also referred to as real estate mortgage investment conduits (“REMICs”), may not be suitable for all investors. There is the possibility of early return of principal due to mortgage prepayments, which can reduce expected yield and result in reinvestment risk. Conversely, return of principal may be slower than initial prepayment speed assumptions, extending the average life of the security up to its listed maturity date (also referred to as extension risk).

Additionally, the underlying collateral supporting non–Agency MBS may default on principal and interest payments. In certain cases, this could cause the income stream of the security to decline and result in loss of principal. Further, an insufficient level of credit support may result in a downgrade of a mortgage bond’s credit rating and lead to a higher probability of principal loss and increased price volatility. Investments in subordinated MBS involve greater credit risk of default than the senior classes of the same issue. Default risk may be pronounced in cases where the MBS security is secured by, or evidencing an interest in, a relatively small or less diverse pool of underlying mortgage loans.

MBS are also sensitive to interest rate changes which can negatively impact the market value of the security. During times of heightened volatility, MBS can experience greater levels of illiquidity and larger price movements. Price volatility may also occur from other factors including, but not limited to, prepayments, future prepayment expectations, credit concerns, underlying collateral performance and technical changes in the market.

Alternative investments referenced in this report are speculative and entail significant risks that can include losses due to leveraging or other speculative investment practices, lack of liquidity, volatility of returns, restrictions on transferring interests in the fund, potential lack of diversification, absence of information regarding valuations and pricing, complex tax structures and delays in tax reporting, less regulation and higher fees than mutual funds and advisor risk.

Asset allocation does not assure a profit or protect against a loss in declining financial markets.

The indexes are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. They are shown for illustrative purposes only and do not represent the performance of any specific investment. Index returns do not include any expenses, fees or sales charges, which would lower performance.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

International investing entails greater risk, as well as greater potential rewards compared to US investing. These risks include political and economic uncertainties of foreign countries as well as the risk of currency fluctuations. These risks are magnified in countries with emerging markets, since these countries may have relatively unstable governments and less established markets and economics.
Investing in smaller companies involves greater risks not associated with investing in more established companies, such as business risk, significant stock price fluctuations and illiquidity.

Factors affecting commodities generally, index components composed of futures contracts on nickel or copper, which are industrial metals, may be subject to a number of additional factors specific to industrial metals that might cause price volatility. These include changes in the level of industrial activity using industrial metals (including the availability of substitutes such as manmade or synthetic substitutes); disruptions in the supply chain, from mining to storage to smelting or refining; adjustments to inventory; variations in production costs, including storage, labor and energy costs; costs associated with regulatory compliance, including environmental regulations; and changes in industrial, government and consumer demand, both in individual consuming nations and internationally. Index components concentrated in futures contracts on agricultural products, including grains, may be subject to a number of additional factors specific to agricultural products that might cause price volatility. These include weather conditions, including floods, drought and freezing conditions; changes in government policies; planting decisions; and changes in demand for agricultural products, both with end users and as inputs into various industries.

The information contained herein is not intended to be an exhaustive discussion of the strategies or concepts mentioned herein or tax or regulatory implications and is intended only for recipient in accordance with the Prudential Regulator Authority. Any questions in connection with the information and is intended only for recipient in accordance with the Securities and Futures Ordinance (Chapter 571 of Singapore) (the “Act”) and professional investors requirements in Hong Kong as defined under the Hong Kong Securities and Futures Ordinance and its subsidiary legislation. For regulated asset management services, any mandate will be entered into only with Citibank, N.A., Hong Kong Branch and/or Citibank, N.A. Singapore Branch, as applicable. Citibank, N.A., Hong Kong Branch or Citibank, N.A., Singapore Branch may sub-delegate all or part of its mandate to another Citigroup affiliate or other branch of Citibank, N.A. Any references to named portfolio managers are for your information only, and this communication shall not be construed to be an offer to enter into any portfolio management mandate with any other Citigroup affiliate or other branch of Citibank, N.A. and, at no time will any other Citigroup affiliate or other branch of Citibank, N.A. or any other Citigroup affiliate enter into a mandate relating to the above portfolio with you. To the extent this communication is provided to clients who are booked and/or managed in Hong Kong: No other statement(s) in this communication shall operate to remove, exclude or restrict any of your rights or obligations of Citibank under applicable laws and regulations. Citibank, N.A., Hong Kong Branch does not intend to rely on any provisions herein which are inconsistent with its obligations under the Code of Conduct for Persons Licensed by or Registered with the Securities and Futures Commission, or which mis-describes the actual services to be provided to you.

Citibank, N.A. is incorporated in the United States of America and its principal regulators are the US Office of the Comptroller of Currency and Federal Reserve under US laws, which differ from Australian laws. Citibank, N.A. does not hold an Australian Financial Services License under the Corporations Act 2001 as it enjoys the benefit of an exemption under ASIC Class Order C0 03/1101 (remade as ASIC Corporations (Repeal and Transitional) Instrument 2016/396 and extended by ASIC Corporations (Amendment) Instrument 2022/623).

In the United Kingdom, Citibank N.A., London Branch (registered branch number BR001018), Citigroup Centre, Canada Square, Canary Wharf, London E14 5LB, is authorized and regulated by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (USA) and authorized by the Prudential Regulation Authority. Subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. Details about the extent of our regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority are available from us on request.

The contact number for Citibank N.A., London Branch is +44 (0)20 7508 8000.

Citibank Europe plc (UK Branch), is a branch of Citibank Europe plc, which is authorised and regulated by the Central Bank of Ireland and the European Central Bank. Authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority. Subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. Details about the extent of our regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority are available from us on request. Citibank Europe plc, UK Branch is registered as a branch in the register of companies for England and Wales with registered branch number BR017844. Its registered address is Citigroup Centre, Canada Square, Canary Wharf, London E14 5LB. VAT No.: GB 429 6256 29. Citibank Europe plc is registered in Ireland with number 132781, with its registered office at 1 North Wall Quay, Dublin 1. Citibank Europe plc is regulated by the Central Bank of Ireland. Ultimately owned by Citigroup Inc., New York, USA.

Citibank Europe plc, Luxembourg Branch, registered with the Luxembourg Trade and Companies Register under number B 200204, is a branch of Citibank Europe plc. It is subject to the joint supervision of the European Central bank and the Central Bank of Ireland. It is furthermore subject to limited regulation by the Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier (the CSSF) in its role as host Member State authority and registered with the CSSF under number BO0000395. Its business office is at 31, Z.A. Bourmicht, 8070 Bertrange, Grand Duchy of Luxembourg. Citibank Europe plc is registered in Ireland with company registration number 132781. It is regulated by the Central Bank of Ireland under the reference number C26553 and supervised by the European Central Bank. Its registered office is at 1 North Wall Quay, Dublin 1, Ireland.

This document is communicated by Citibank (Switzerland) AG, which has its registered address at Hardstrasse 201, 8005 Zurich, Citibank N.A., Zurich Branch, which has its registered address at Hardstrasse 201, 8005 Zurich, or Citibank N.A., Geneva Branch, which has its registered address at Z, Quai de la Poste, 1204 Geneva. Citibank (Switzerland) AG and Citibank, N.A., Zurich and Geneva Branches are authorised and supervised by the Swiss Financial Supervisory Authority (FINMA).

In Jersey, this document is communicated by Citibank N.A., Jersey Branch which has its registered address at PO Box 104, 38 Esplanade, St Helier, Jersey JE4 8BB. Citibank N.A., Jersey Branch is regulated by the Jersey Financial Services Commission. Citibank N.A. Jersey Branch is a participant in the Jersey Bank
The information in this document has been obtained from reports issued by CGMI and CGWI. Such information is based on sources CGMI and CGWI believe to be reliable. CGMI and CGWI, however, do not guarantee its accuracy and it may be incomplete or condensed. All opinions and estimates constitute CGMI and CGWI’s judgment as of the date of the report and are subject to change without notice. This document is for general information purposes only and is not intended as a recommendation or an offer or solicitation for the purchase or sale of any security or currency. No part of this document may be reproduced in any manner without the written consent of Citibank N.A. Information in this document has been prepared without taking account of the objectives, financial situation, or needs of any particular investor. Any person considering an investment should consider the appropriateness of the investment having regard to their objectives, financial situation, or needs, and should seek independent advice on the suitability or otherwise of a particular investment. Investments are not deposits, are not obligations of, or guaranteed or insured by Citibank N.A., Citigroup Inc., or any of their affiliates or subsidiaries, or by any local government or insurance agency, and are subject to investment risk, including the possible loss of the principal amount invested. Investors investing in funds denominated in non-local currency should be aware of the risk of exchange rate fluctuations that may cause a loss of principal. Past performance is not indicative of future performance, prices can go up or down. Investment products are not available to US persons. All applications for investments and treasury products are subject to Terms and Conditions of the individual investment and Treasury products. Customer understands that it is his/her responsibility to seek legal and/or tax advice regarding the legal and tax consequences of his/her investment transactions. If customer changes residence, citizenship, nationality, or place of work, it is his/her responsibility to understand how his/her investment transactions are affected by such change and comply with all applicable laws and regulations as and when such becomes applicable. Customer understands that Citibank does not provide legal and/or tax advice and are not responsible for advising him/her on the laws pertaining to his/her transaction.

Market Specific Disclosures

Bahrain: Citibank, N.A., Bahrain Branch is licensed by the Central Bank of Bahrain as a Wholesale and Retail conventional bank. Investment and Treasury products are subject to investment risk, including possible loss of principal amount invested. Past performance is not indicative of future results: prices can go up or down. Investors investing in investments and/or treasury products denominated in foreign (non-local) currency should be aware of the risk of exchange rate fluctuations that may cause loss of principal when foreign currency is converted to the investors’ home currency. Investment and Treasury products are not available to U.S. persons. All applications for investments and treasury products are subject to Terms and Conditions of the individual investment and Treasury products. Customer understands that it is his/her responsibility to seek legal and/or tax advice regarding the legal and tax consequences of his/her investment transactions. If customer changes residence, citizenship, nationality, or place of work, it is his/her responsibility to understand how his/her investment transactions are affected by such change and comply with all applicable laws and regulations as and when such becomes applicable. Customer understands that Citibank does not provide legal and/or tax advice and are not responsible for advising him/her on the laws pertaining to his/her transaction.

Hong Kong: This communication is distributed in Hong Kong by Citibank (Hong Kong) Limited (“CHKL”) and/or Citibank, N.A., Hong Kong Branch (“CBNA HK”). CHKL and CBNA HK provide no independent research or analysis in the substance or preparation of this communication. Although information in this communication has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, CHKL and CBNA HK do not guarantee its accuracy or completeness and accept no liability for any direct or consequential losses arising from its use. This communication is for general information only, is not intended as a recommendation or an offer or solicitation for the purchase or sale of any products or services and should not be relied upon as financial advice. The information herein has not taken account of the objectives, financial situation or needs of any particular investor. Any person considering an investment should consider the suitability of the investment having regard to their objectives, financial situation and needs, and you should seek independent advice before making an investment decision. You should obtain and consider the relevant product terms and conditions and risk disclaimer statement.
and consider if it’s suitable for your objectives, financial situation or needs before making any investment decision. Investors are advised to obtain independent legal, financial and taxation advice prior to investing. Investments are not deposits, are not protected by the Deposit Protection Scheme in Hong Kong and are subject to investment risk including the possible loss of the principal amount invested.

This communication does not constitute the distribution of any information in any jurisdiction in which it is unlawful to distribute such information to any person in such jurisdiction.

India: Citibank N.A. India (“Citi”) does NOT provide investment advisory services in any manner or form. Investment Products distributed by Citi (i) are not bank deposits or obligations of or guaranteed by Citibank, N.A. or Citigroup, Inc or any of its affiliates or subsidiaries; and (ii) are subject to investment risks, including the possible loss of the principal amount invested. Past performance is not indicative of future results. The ownership of any investment decision(s) shall exclusively vest with the Investor after analysing all possible risk factors and by exercise of his/her/its independent discretion and Citi shall not be liable or held liable for any consequences thereof. Investment products are not available to US and Canada persons and may not be available in all jurisdictions. Investment products are distributed by Citi on a non-discretionary and non-participation basis.

This document does not constitute the distribution of any information or the making of any offer or solicitation by anyone in any jurisdiction in which such distribution or offer is not authorized or to any person to whom it is unlawful to distribute such information to any person in such jurisdiction.

Singapore: This communication is distributed in Singapore by Citibank Singapore Limited (“CSL”) to selected Citigold/Citigold Private Clients. CSL provides no independent research or analysis of the substance or in preparation of this communication. Please contact your Citigold/Citigold Private Client Relationship Manager in CSL if you have any queries on or any matters arising from or in connection with this communication. Investment products are not insured under the provisions of the Deposit Insurance and Policy Owners’ Protection Schemes Act of Singapore and are not eligible for deposit insurance coverage under the Deposit Insurance Scheme.

This communication is for general information only and should not be relied upon as financial advice. The information herein has no regard to the specific objectives, financial situation and particular needs of any specific person and is not intended to be an exhaustive discussion of the strategies or concepts mentioned herein or tax or legal advice. Any person interested in the strategies or concepts mentioned herein should consult their independent tax, legal, financial or other advisors, as appropriate. This communication does not constitute the distribution of any information or the making of any offer or solicitation by anyone in any jurisdiction in which such distribution or offer is not authorized or to any person to whom it is unlawful to distribute such information or make any offer or solicitation.

Before making any investment, each investor must obtain the investment offering materials, which include a description of the risks, fees and expenses and the performance history, if any, which may be considered in connection with making an investment decision. Interested investors should seek the advice of their financial adviser about the issues discussed herein as appropriate. Should investors choose not to seek such advice, they should carefully consider the risks associated with the investment and make a determination based upon the investor’s own particular circumstances, that the investment is consistent with the investor’s investment objectives. At any time, Citigroup companies may compensate affiliates and their representatives for providing products and services to clients.
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